

Calibration Log

The following is a list of calibration items issued by Textile Exchange. This list is provided publicly on the <u>Textile Exchange website</u>.

Calibration items shown apply to current normative documents, or to documents which are currently being phased out (Status: "Retirement Pending"). These represent supplemental guidance which Textile Exchange will integrate into guidance documents such as User Manuals were possible. Calibration only applies to the applicable version of a document, where it is specified.

Each calibration item has been assigned a unique number for easy reference. This can be found at the top left corner, before the calibration title (e.g. "Calibration 150"). Please note that not all numbers will be listed nor listed sequentially due to some calibration items having been rejected, retired, or are still pending review/approval.

All calibration items will be retired with the release of the next major revision of the applicable Textile Exchange standard and/or policy. Any calibration items that still apply will then be reissued as applicable.

An automatic 14-day consultation period applies for each calibration item after the first time it is published for certification bodies, during which Textile Exchange will accept feedback to <u>Assurance@TextileExchange.org</u>. Certification bodies shall apply the calibration as applicable during this time.

Calibration 229	229. Transaction Certificate Issuance after Transferring between Certification Bodies	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.4	
Situation:	An organization may choose to change certification bodies. In this case it presents challenges to obtain transaction certificates from the previous certification body. A certification body could also have their accreditation suspended or withdrawn, affecting their ability to issue transaction certificates. The existence of transaction certificates available in dTrackit now mitigates the risk of volume reconciliation errors or volume fraud.		
Interpretation:	When an organization transfers between certification bo "preceding certification body" to the "succeeding certification body" to the "succeeding certification), the certification body may issue transaction certificates for s time when the organization was certified with the preced provided that all of the following conditions are met. This succeeding certification body's scope certificate is issue	ication body", e succeeding shipments from the ling certification body, s applies after the	



Calibration 229	229. Transaction Certificate Issuance after Transferring between Certification Bodies	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.4			
	1. The organization held a valid scope certificate at the time of the shipment, and the product is eligible to be included on a transaction certificate;				
	2. The inputs are not seed cotton (PC0088) or lint cotton	n (PD0074);			
	3. The following transaction certificates have been received by Textile Exchange's dTrackit system and can be authenticated in the Textile Exchange Transaction Certificate Verification Portal at https://textileexchange.org/authenticate-transaction/:				
	a. All applicable input transaction certificates issued t standards for the transaction certificate to be issued; an	-			
	b. All output transaction certificates issued to the orga the same standard since the date of the earliest input tra				
	4. The two certification bodies shall agree that the succeeding certification body will be responsible for issuing transaction certificates for all shipments after a specified date;				
	5. The succeeding certification body has conducted a vo ensure that claimed material has not been over-sold by t including receiving volume reconciliation data from the body;	he organization,			
	6. The succeeding certification body shall meet the follo	wing data criteria:			
	a. Specify the preceding certification body's scope ce scLegacyNo data field on the dTrackit data submission f certification body's scope certificate;				
	b. Specify the certification body at the time of the ship referencing the preceding certification body's licensing certified by CB-ABC on the applicable shipment date(s).	code (e.g. "Seller was			
	c. Fully implement Textile Exchange's criteria for the s (see Calibration 172); and	scNo and scVersionNo			
	d. If any inputs are GOTS certified, submit full data for certificates back to the first processor into dTrackit.	transaction			



Calibration 229		Certificate Issuance ween Certification Bo		ASR-104-V3	3.0 A8.4
	7. All applicable products shall be included on the succeeding certification body's scope certificate. All applicable products shall match a product on the preceding certification body's scope certificate for all required information except that different blend percentages may be specified. All applicable process categories shall appear on the scope certificates from both certification bodies.				
	NOTE: Textile Exchange will review these criteria for future updates to ASR- 104 Policy for Transaction Certificates. All other criteria for transaction certificates, including those in ASR-106 Accepted Equivalent Standards, continue to apply. Updated: 2023.04.18				
	Originally issued: 2023.03.27				
Date Issued:	2023.04.19	Conformity Date:	2023.04.19	Status:	Issued

Calibration	232. Transfer Audits in the case of CB Suspension	ASR-112-V2.0 C3.4	
Situation:	Transfer audits are abbreviated audits intended to simplify the transition between certification bodies when there may be an urgent need outside of the recertification cycle. ASR-112-V2.0 permits transfer audits in the case of CB withdrawal, but does not permit them for voluntary transfers between CBs. In the case of a CB being suspended, their clients may feel that a transfer of certification is necessary to control risk and ensure continuity of service.		
Interpretation:	A transfer audit may be conducted when the certification body has been suspended for the organization's scope and is still suspended 7 calendar days before the date the transfer audit is conducted. See ASR-112-V2.0 C3.5 for more information about transfer audits.		
	certification body's scope certificate number in the scLe the dTrackit data submission for the succeeding certific	ase, the succeeding certification body shall specify the preceding tion body's scope certificate number in the scLegacyNo data field on ackit data submission for the succeeding certification body's scope te, and shall follow instructions from Textile Exchange for reporting on audits to allow for fees to be calculated correctly.	



Calibration	232. Transfer Au	Suspension	ASR-112-V2.0 C3.4		
Date Issued:	2023.04.19	Conformity Date:	2023.04.19	Status:	Issued

Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Data	a		RAF-101a-V 101b-V1.1/R V1.0 F2.6.2	-
Situation:	RAF farm group criteria require the ICS to maintain maps or sketches of each farm showing where animals are located. Textile Exchange is moving to require that GIS data be submitted by each farm. How does this affect the criterion relating to maps?				
Interpretation:	maps or sketches that the ICS main 1. A list of farms w 2. A copy of the Fa Exchange's syste 3. Polygon data (i amount of farmlan Note: Textile Exc the GIS data for ea provide a mechan	e. GIS shapefiles) for nd. hange's system will no ach member farm coll nism for the ICS to acc ay apply for this acces 02.28	where animals ar hich can be clearly ck to the scope ce h farm, as require each farm showin ot automatically gi ected by Textile E ess the informatio	e located pro linked per fa rtificate; d by Textile g the location ive the ICS ac xchange, but	n and ccess to
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued



Calibration 189	Certificate Authentication	ASR-103-V3.0, ASR-104-V3.0 A4		
Situation:	integrity system of Textile Exchange standards. Certific consistently authenticate input transaction certificates continuous flow of goods in the supply chain (see CCS-1 Some CBs are not fulfilling in a timely manner their oblig evidence of scope and transaction certificate authenticity has created a transaction certificate authentication port	Scope certificates and Transaction certificates are fundamental pieces in the integrity system of Textile Exchange standards. Certification bodies must consistently authenticate input transaction certificates to safeguard the continuous flow of goods in the supply chain (see CCS-102-V3.0 E2.1.4). Some CBs are not fulfilling in a timely manner their obligation to provide evidence of scope and transaction certificate authenticity. Textile Exchange tas created a transaction certificate authentication portal which presently illows for the authentication of some transaction certificates.		
Interpretation:	In order to authenticate a transaction certificate, the cer use one of the following options:	tification body shall		
	transaction/. This should be used as the preferred optio	Authentication via the portal at https://textileexchange.org/authenticate- ransaction/. This should be used as the preferred option where possible. This nethod will become the only accepted method in the future.		
	2. Authentication via the certification body's own database system, if the transaction certificate was issued by the same certification body. OR			
	3. Authentication via the method specified by the issuing certification body at https://textileexchange.org/certificates.			
	If an input transaction certificate cannot be authenticate body shall not accept it. See CCS-102-V3.0 E2.1.4.	ed, the certification		
	Each certification body is required to update Textile Exc certificates can be authenticated (see ASR-103-V3.0 A	•		
	 4. If a different method of authentication is used for some of a certification body's scope certificates (e.g. for specific standards or countries), this information shall be included. 5. If all of the certification body's transaction certificates are available via Fextile Exchange's transaction certificate portal, reference to this portal is sufficient to meet ASR-103-V3.0 A4.2. 			
	6. If transaction certificate authentication is conducted of two business days is allowed for the verification to be certification body receives a request (see ASR-103-V3.0	completed when the		



Calibration 189	Certificate Authentication			ASR-103-V3.0, ASR-104-V3.0 A4	
	7. In the case of automated methods of transaction certificate authentication such as a single webpage with verification capabilities, accessed by using a URL or QR code, the certification body shall provide instructions to users in case the system is not returning valid evidence of verification.				
	UPDATED: 2023.02.28 ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2022.08.01				
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued

Calibration 209	Clarifications for Processing Categories	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.2
Situation:	It is unclear how specific processing categories shall be	used.
Interpretation:	The following clarifications are provided for processing of Processing - A facility shall be considered to be a process category other than PR0000 (no processing), PR0035 (sales), PR0030 (trading), PR0031 (warehousing, distril (Buying house) applies to the site. A processor site may these process codes except for PR0000 (see below). No processing (PR0000) - This processing category sh processing category is suitable. It is typically used for si possession within the same scope certificate as process Brand (PR0035) - This process category is only to be us controls the design and development of final products. any certified final product sold to a consumer includes e that the correct use of this processing category is essen brands are given correct access to dTrackit. This process	ssor when any process (brand), PR0025 (retail bution), and PR0041 also qualify for any of all apply when no other tes without physical sing sites. sed for a site that The supply chain for xactly one brand. Note tial to ensure that
	to subcontractors.	



Calibration 209	Clarifications for Processing Categories	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.2		
	Example: A site produces a branded fabric (an intermed which is sold to garment factories to be made into jacket as a final product. The site shall not have PC0035 listed.	s. The fabric is not sold		
	Example: A spinner produces and sells yarn primarily to fabric mills. However, the spinner also sells some yarns direct to consumers in a retail shop and online for craft purposes. The spinner is the brand for the yarns which are sold directly to consumers.			
	Packing (PR0020) - This process category is considered to be processing, and shall only be used when the packing carries a risk of product contamination or a change in labels relating to the Standard. It is not intended for facilities which are distributing discrete products and not applying labels.			
	Examples of packing: Bagging of bulk down; Applying hang tags with an OCS logo to garments; Packing individual socks into 10-packs for retail sale			
	size, color) and boxing them together for shipment to an Adding or removing polybags on garments, unless the p	xamples that are not considered packing: Picking finished garments (style, ize, color) and boxing them together for shipment to an individual retail store; adding or removing polybags on garments, unless the polybags carry the standard's logo; Putting non-certified products into GRS-certified packaging		
	that are purchasing and selling claimed materials without inputs and outputs are identical for some products across same scope certificate. The organization or site may also which they process. An office-only site for a manufacture	PR0030) - This process category shall only apply to organizations urchasing and selling claimed materials without processing them, i.e. d outputs are identical for some products across all sites within the pe certificate. The organization or site may also have other products y process. An office-only site for a manufacturer shall not list trading ess category unless the organization purchases and sells claimed that are not processed at any of their sites.		
	Warehousing, distribution (PROO31) - This process cate facilities that are physically handling products without p inputs and outputs from the facility are identical. The sit products which they process.	rocessing them, i.e.		
	Buying House (PR0041) - A buying house does not take claimed materials, but takes legal ownership and has the more subcontractors. This process code does not apply scope certificate includes PR0041, a minimum of one pro	em processed by one or to subcontractors. If a		



Calibration 209	Clarifications for Processing Categories			ASR-213-V1 3.2	.1 Table
	subcontractor and corresponding processing category shall be included on the scope certificate. NOTE: The process code PR0041 is new and will be included in the next update of ASR-213.				
Date Issued:					Issued

Calibration 220	Mixed Fibers and Proportion of Fibers	CCS-101-V3.1 D4		
Situation:	Pre- and post-consumer waste such as yarn or non-woven textiles are recycled into various textile materials. CCS D4.2 calls for a material composition test report of the output claimed material, but this requirement has proven to be unpractical due to:			
	 The high cost and duration of testing, The impossibility of finding the exact proportion of eac 	h fiber in the output		
	material, and			
	- The error factor introduced by the big amount of different input materials, along with their size and weight.			
	For example, 1000 garments will have 1000 varieties of blends or materials, and each garment's weight will be slightly different.			
Interpretation:	When mixed fibers are used in raw materials, there is no need to do a material composition test to know the percentage of each fiber present. The raw material codes for mixed fibers (RM0258, RM0259, RM0260, RM0261) shall only be used by the material recycler. No other operator in the supply chain, after the recycler, is allowed to change the mixed fiber codes in the output transaction certificate or when they are used in the material composition on the input transaction certificate.			



Calibration 220	Mixed Fibers and Proportion of Fibers			CCS-101-V3.1 D4		
	The mixed-fiber of consumer and pos When an input tra fibers, this same of Even when the de transaction certifi	A blend of virgin material shall not be defined as "mixed fibers" in any case. The mixed-fiber codes are only allowed to be used by recyclers for pre- consumer and post-consumer waste. When an input transaction certificate specifies that a product contains mixed fibers, this same designation shall be used in the output transaction certificate. Even when the detailed results of a material composition test are available, the transaction certificate shall list "mixed fibers" with the corresponding raw material code rather than separating out individual fibers.				
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 148	Ineligible Reclaimed Inputs	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1		
Situation:	Textile Exchange has become aware of several situations where certification bodies have issued scope certificates to material recyclers for material which has been accepted by the certification body as pre-consumer, but which does not match Textile Exchange's definition of pre-consumer material. Textile Exchange acknowledges that this may have related to a lack of clarity in past guidance.			
Interpretation:	Any scope certificates with ineligible inputs (i.e. which do not qualify as reclaimed) shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately. No recertification (with the same certification body or a new certification body) is possible in these cases.			
	certified that reclaimed input before, they should reach for clarification prior to including it in the scope of GRS of Textile Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area when did not request guidance from Textile Exchange, the sco	certification body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not ed that reclaimed input before, they should reach out to Textile Exchange rification prior to including it in the scope of GRS or RCS certification. If e Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area where the certification body t request guidance from Textile Exchange, the scope certificate shall he scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn diately.		



Calibration 148	Ineligible Reclain	Ineligible Reclaimed Inputs			.0/RCS-
	NOTE: A previous exception was included in this calibration for scope certificates issued on or before April 15, 2021. All such scope certificates have now expired so that exception has been removed.				
	UPDATED: 2023.01.31				
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.04.15				
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 178	Criteria for the product category PC0029 Dyed Yarn for reclaimed dyed inputs	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.3		
Situation:	Under GRS/RCS, certain products are made from dyed reclaimed inputs (pre- consumer or post-consumer), and additional dyeing is not done on such products. Can we call such yarn 'undyed' or 'greige' yarn instead of 'dyed yarn'?			
Interpretation:	When product inputs used by a certified site have been p only identified as dyed products as a result of a previous they shall be identified with the 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) ca confusion with 'Undyed Yarn' (PC0031), which shall not material.	recycling process, ategory to avoid		
	"Product's color was maintained from its life cycle previo certified supply chain." or "Product is made from inputs dyed and the resulting product color is not a result of an	ier may request the certification body to include a statement such as ct's color was maintained from its life cycle previous to entering the d supply chain." or "Product is made from inputs which were previously ad the resulting product color is not a result of an additional dyeing s.". This information can be included in box 12 of the Transaction ate template (ASR-205-V3.0). Post-consumer or pre-consumer reclaimed products could have been dyed in a previous life cycle and recycled (a process based on orting). In such a process, dyeing might not be performed by a certified t washing or finishing could be done on reclaimed product, yarn, or n such a case, the output will also be 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) since the dyed reclaimed product (pre-consumer / post-consumer). When ed products that have been previously dyed are certified, the 'Dyed		
	already been dyed in a previous life cycle and recycled (a color sorting). In such a process, dyeing might not be pe site, but washing or finishing could be done on reclaimed fabric. In such a case, the output will also be 'Dyed yarn' input is dyed reclaimed product (pre-consumer / post-c			



Calibration 178	Criteria for the product category PC0029 Dyed Yarn for reclaimed dyed inputs			ASR-213-V1 3.3	.1 Table
	yarn' (PC0029) category shall be used to avoid confusion with 'Undyed Yarn' (PC0031), which shall not be used on any dyed material.				
	UPDATED: 2022.01.31				
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2022.06.08				
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 213	Invalidating or a use volume for a	mending a transactic nother standard	on certificate to	CCS-102-V3 E2.2.2	3.0
Situation:	-	n certificate be invalida certificate for another s			be used
Interpretation:	 The issuing certification body may amend an RCS transaction certificate to upgrade the claim to GRS, provided that the following criteria have been met: The order was eligible to be sold as GRS (including but not limited to the seller having GRS certification in place and all processing criteria being met); and The issuing certification body confirms that the transaction certificate has not been used as the input to any other transaction certificates (with confirmation from the buyer's certification body if needed). CCS-102-V3.0 E2.2.1.c allows for a transaction certificate to be amended to 				
	 a. CCS-102-VS.0 E2.2.1.C allows for a transaction certificate to be amended to downgrade the claim from GRS to RCS, so this is not necessary in that case. 3. Volume which was previously included on a transaction certificate for a standard not owned by Textile Exchange (e.g. GOTS or a certification body-owned recycling standard) shall not be used on a transaction certificate for a Textile Exchange standard. 				
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 216	GRS Modules for Sites without Physical Possession GRS-101-V4.0 A3.				.0 A3.2c
Situation:	Do the GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials?				
Interpretation:	without physical p houses. These cri claimed materials	nvironmental, and choossession of GRS ma teria still apply to faci a, including subcontra	terials such as tra lities with physica ctors.	iders and buy Il possession	ring of
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 217	Listing Brand Na	mes on Scope Certifi	cate	ASR-104-V3	3.0 B3
Situation:	When a certified brand sells certified products under a brand name which is not listed as a site on the scope certificate, should that brand name be somehow listed on the scope certificate?				
Interpretation:	listed as a site on of all applicable b above the site app certificate shall be just as a brand na	g this will be provided	the certification b cilities Page of the ouyer on the incor brand's scope ce	ody should ad scope certific ning transact ertificate (rath	dd a list cate, tion her than
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 222	Water deprivatio lactating period.	n for ewes in late pre	gnancy or	RWS-101a-\ AW1.7.3	V2.2
Situation:	The requirement in the RWS, for ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period, to not be deprived of water for more than 8 hours, doesn't conform to industry best practice recommendations for preparation time for shearing. These guidelines propose a longer withdrawal from water to allow animals to empty out to protect their health and comfort when they are sheared. These industry guidelines are also taking account of worker health and safety, as empty sheep are lighter and so cause less strain on the shearer. Australian and New Zealand guidelines suggest a minimum of 8 hours and maximum of 20 hours off water for ewes that are in late pregnancy or lactating.				
Interpretation:	Ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period shall not be deprived of water for more than 20 hours. This requirement supersedes the criterion AW1.7.3 in the standard since this directly contradicts it and is based on further information which is now available to Textile Exchange.				
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 224	Change of Accreditation Bodies	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8.a
	What needs to be considered for a certification body to o bodies?	change accreditation
	An accredited certification body may change accreditativoluntary or involuntary reasons. An involuntary change in accreditation body occurs where body is no longer able and willing to offer accreditation for body's scope (e.g. is no longer a Textile Exchange accreding certification bodies in a specific country other reason is a voluntary change in accreditation body. The following steps shall apply for a change in accreditation.	en the accreditation or the certification editation body, or is no ry). A change for any r.



Calibration 224	Change of Accrea	Change of Accreditation BodiesASR-101-V2.1D1.1.8.a					
	 The certification body shall notify Textile Exchange of their intention to change accreditation body and submit an updated copy of ASR-206 Certification Body Application Form naming the new accreditation body. If the succeeding accreditation body is not an authorized Textile Exchange accreditation body, the certification body shall pay the new accreditation body fee (see ASR-107 Certification Fee Structure) and the accreditation body shall complete the authorization process before the change is approved. In the case of a voluntary change in accreditation body, the certification body shall meet the following criteria : Any assessment which has been started by the preceding accreditation body 						
	 has been completed and the accreditation decision has been made. b. The certification body shall have a positive accreditation decision from the last assessment with the preceding accreditation body (i.e. there is no suspension or withdrawal of part or all of the scope of accreditation). 						
	c. The certification body shall be up to date with all required submissions to Textile Exchange, including site fees and data submissions, and shall not be under sanction from Textile Exchange.						
	4. Once the above criteria have been satisfied, Textile Exchange will approve the change in accreditation body.						
	5. The succeeding accreditation body shall consider all assessment reports from the preceding accreditation body for at least the previous two years in conducting their initial assessment.						
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 15	Pre-Consumer Glass (Moil)	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1, definition of pre- consumer
Situation:	May glass moil be considered to be reclaimed material?	



Calibration 15	Pre-Consumer Glass (Moil)			GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1, definition of pre- consumer		
Interpretation:	considered recycl considered pre-co stage and is within rejection.		from breakage an undergoes an ado he percentage of k	nd rejection m litional reprod preakage and	nay be cessing	
Date Issued:	2023.01.19	Conformity Date:	2023.01.19	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 184	Inputs from Tann Abbatoirs	neries, Slaughterhous	ses, and	GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	I.0/RCS-	
Situation:	May animal hides/fibers and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, or abattoir be accepted as reclaimed inputs?					
Interpretation:	tannery, slaughte reclaimed inputs f NOTE: Calibration	Animal fibers (including wool), animal hides, and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, abattoir, or similar operation shall not be accepted as reclaimed inputs for RCS or GRS. NOTE: Calibration 148 addresses steps for certification bodies when there is ambiguity about whether or not a material may be accepted as reclaimed.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 188		ertified Subcontracto hange Standards	or SC use for	CCS-102-V3	3.0 D3.4	
Situation:		dently certified GRS subcontractors be used to produce RCS out an RCS scope certificate?				
Interpretation:	certified RCS sub- certification. Inde GRS subcontracto associated subco Other than with R- does not allow for this certification n (see CCS-102-V3	CS/GRS, certification a subcontractor to qu nay be considered in t .0 Appendix B2 o.). C ng GOTS) does not fac .31	ey do not hold sep CS subcontractors to GRS certified or to another Textile ualify as independ the subcontractor ertification to a no	arate RCS s hall not be are treated a e Exchange s ently certified 's risk assess in-Textile Exc	used as as tandard d, though ment	
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 215	Approval for VR2	Certifications		CCS-105-V 3	'3.0 B1.2-
Situation:	It is unclear when approval from Textile Exchange is required to use VR2 material.				
Interpretation:	Approval from Textile Exchange is required before the certification body schedules an audit for any site which is implementing alternative volume reconciliation directly to produce VR2 materials. No special approval is needed for sites that purchase and sell VR2 materials based on the criteria of the CCS.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 207	Sale of Claimed N Location	Aaterial at a Shared S	Subcontractor	ASR-104-V3	3.0 A8.3
Situation:	What should the shipping date be for transaction certificate purposes if claimed material changes ownership from one certified organization to another while being stored at a third-party facility which is a subcontractor for both the seller and the buyer?				
Interpretation:	If claimed material is sold and there is no physical shipment of the material associated with the sale (e.g. the same facility is acting as a storage subcontractor for both the buyer and the seller), the date of the change in legal ownership - typically the invoice date - shall be used as the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.13	Conformity Date:	2022.12.13	Status:	Issued

Calibration 80	Accepting Organ	ic Inputs for OCS		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1	
Situation:		Are all national organic standards accepted for OCS inputs? May organic inputs which have been processed after the farm be accepted for OCS?				
Interpretation:	from farms which NOP, Regulation Standards). A nat not be used to pro Sites further along accept inputs whi equivalent for OC	The OCS allows first processors to accept organically grown material inputs from farms which are certified under one of the three categories listed (USDA NOP, Regulation (EC) 834/2007 & EU 2018/848, or IFOAM Family of Standards). A national organic standard which is not included in this list shall not be used to provide organically grown material inputs for OCS. Sites further along the supply chain (i.e. not the first processor) may only accept inputs which are OCS certified or certified to a standard listed as equivalent for OCS in ASR-106 Accepted Equivalent Standards. Updated: 2022.12.12				
Date Issued:	2022.12.12	Conformity Date:	2022.12.12	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 192	Classification of a certificate?	a rented facility in the	escope	CCS-101-V3	3.1 C5.2	
Situation:	A certified organization rents a facility for the washing process and pays all costs involved such as labor, utilities and materials. Is this facility a site or a subcontractor?					
Interpretation:	labor, overhead, a organization and s a site, not a subco Updated: 2022.12	When the certificate holder rents a facility to conduct a process and pays for labor, overhead, and materials used, the facility will be considered a part of the organization and shall be listed in the Site Appendix on the scope certificate as a site, not a subcontractor. Updated: 2022.12.12 Originally issued: 2022.09.22				
Date Issued:	2022.12.12	Conformity Date:	2022.12.12	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 197	Certified Sites as	Associated Subcont	ractors	CCS-101-V3	.1 C5.2
Situation:	May the scope certificate holder use a subcontractor who is independently certified to the same standard as an associated subcontractor?				
Interpretation:	A subcontractor facility that is independently certified to the same Textile Exchange standard shall not be listed as an associated subcontractor since they hold an independent scope certificate.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.01	Conformity Date:	2022.12.01	Status:	Issued

Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sales Setups	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3
Situation:	Various transportation and storage arrangements exist fibers prior to the spinning stage. These agreements inc	•



Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sales Setups	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3				
	_	1. "Ex-Works" arrangements where animal fiber is sold (change in legal ownership) but stored for some time at the seller's storage facility. The buyer subsequently arranges transportation for the fiber.				
	2. Arrangements where the farm or farm group stores unprocessed fibers at a storage or processing facility (e.g., a scour) before selling the fibers. The fibers may be sold to the processor or to another party in processed or unprocessed form.					
	both of these scenarios, the animal fiber is being sold but is not physically nipped at the time of sale.					
Interpretation:	When animal fibers (before the spinning stage) are stored at a facility that does not belong to the owner of the fibers, that facility shall be considered to be a subcontractor for storage, even if that facility is also the seller on the incoming transaction certificate or buyer on the outgoing transaction certificate of the organization which owns the fibers. When animal fibers change ownership without physically moving between facilities, the date of the ownership change may be accepted as the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes. The change in legal ownership is based on the invoice date when there is no physical shipment of material.					
	Examples:					
	1. After selling unprocessed wool to a buyer, the seller st days. The buyer then arranges for the wool to be picked the buyer's facility. In this case, the "shipment date" for t certificate would be the same as the sale date, and the se the buyer's subcontractor for the wool storage (87 days)	up and transported to the transaction eller would then act as				
	scouring facility stores the mohair for 56 days. At the end farm group sells the mohair to the scouring facility. The	rm group ships unprocessed mohair to a scouring facility, and the ng facility stores the mohair for 56 days. At the end of the 56 days, the roup sells the mohair to the scouring facility. The scouring facility acts as ontractor for the 56 days before the sale. The "shipping date" occurs at d of the 56 days for transaction certificate purposes.				
	3. A broker ships unprocessed alpaca fiber to a processing facility and store the fiber for 43 days. The broker then sells the fiber to a separate buyer (not processing facility), pays for the processing facility to process the fiber then has the fiber shipped to the buyer. The processing facility acts as a storage a processing subcontractor, and the "shipping date" for transaction certificate					



Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sales Setups			ASR-104-V:	3.0 A8.3
	purposes is the date when the fiber is shipped from the processing facility to the buyer.				
Date Issued:	2022.11.11	Conformity Date:	2022.11.11	Status:	Issued

Calibration 191	Transaction certificates without geographic origin information	ASR-104-V3.0 C3.5		
Situation:	The full implementation of ASR-104-v3.0 Policy for Transaction Certificates after July 1, 2022, mandates disclosure of the geographic origin of each raw material. The routine issuance of output transaction certificates has been interrupted because many input transaction certificates issued before July 1 are missing the origin information. Certification bodies request a guidance procedure to manage such transaction certificates whilst conforming to the transaction certificate policy.			
Interpretation:	 For transaction certificates issued after May 1, 2021, and the certification body shall: a. Request the missing geographical origin information i. The certification body who issued the input transaction ii. The seller as listed on the input transaction certificate b. Maintain records of collection efforts related to the information in the output transaction certificate, whether successful or not. If the certification body cannot gather the geographic outlined in item 1: a. The output transaction certificate may be issued only transaction certificate is correct and in conformity to the Certificates that was valid at the time of issuance. 	from either: ion certificate, or te. iclusion of origin r these efforts are al origin information as		



Calibration 191	Transaction certi information	ficates without geog	raphic origin	ASR-104-V3	3.0 C3.5
	b. The certification body shall enter "Not available" in Box 11 of the output transaction certificate.				
	3. The Textile Exchange Assurance team may perform its own sampling of transaction certificates to ensure conformity with this calibration. In case of identifying a non-conforming transaction certificate, they may notify the applicable accreditation body for further investigation.				
	4. Transaction certificates missing geographic origin information and issued before May 1, 2021, are not subject to the due diligence in item 1, but the certification body shall comply with item 2.				
	5. All transaction certificates issued by first processors after November 15, 2022, shall include the geographic origin information or otherwise, shall be considered invalid. All other transaction certificates in the supply chain shall include the geographic origin information in every transaction certificate after March 31, 2023. Any transaction certificate missing the geographic origin information after March 31, 2023, shall be considered invalid.				
Date Issued:	2022.10.13	Conformity Date:	2022.10.13	Status:	Issued

Calibration 195	Allowed language in place of using the term "virgin" when specifying RDS-certified down	TE-301-V1.2 B2.1.1
Situation:	Many retailers are now using exclusively RDS certified of and claiming their "virgin down is RDS-certified". Curren claims language we do not allow organizations to specify use is "virgin" and we would like to avoid use of this term attribute, and it may be confusing to consumers. Organis to clearly communicate to consumers that when the dow RDS certified.	ntly, in the allowed y that all the down they n as we don't verify this zations still need a way
Interpretation:	B2.1 Claims by certified organizations shall be limited to only:B2.1.3 For certified raw materials sourced from farms:	the following language



Calibration 195		Allowed language in place of using the term "virgin" when specifying RDS-certified down			2 B2.1.1
	 (At [company name]), [% of the/All] [organically grown material/down/wool/mohair/alpaca fiber] sourced from farms into our supply chain is [standard name or acronym]-certified. e.g. "At Brand ABC, all down sourced from farms into our supply chain is RDS certified." 				
Date Issued:	2022.10.03	Conformity Date:	2022.10.03	Status:	Issued

Calibration 190	Blending RDS/R/ of the same type	AF material with recy	cled material	RDS-101-V3 101a-V2.2/I 101b-V1.2/F V1.0 B2.1.1.	RAF- RAF-101c-	
Situation:	RDS and RAF fibers may be blended with recycled RDS or recycled RAF fibers for a labeled claimed product.					
Interpretation:	the RWS if the pro the product is cer The same guidan	An RWS product that contains recycled wool may only qualify for labeling to the RWS if the product contains at least 5% RWS wool and 100% of the wool in the product is certified to either RWS or mixed with RCS or GRS certified wool. The same guidance may be applied for other RAF standards (e.g., blend of RMS and recycled mohair) and for RDS (blend of RDS and recycled down).				
Date Issued:	2022.09.23	Conformity Date:	2022.09.23	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 172	Scope Certificate Number and Scope Certificate Version Number	ASR-103-V3.0 B1.3
Situation:	If a scope certificate number changes (e.g. as a result of of a product, site, and/or process, or due to a correction certificate), how should the certification body report the certificate number?	made on the scope



Calibration 172	Scope Certificate Version Number	Number and Scope	Certificate	ASR-103-V3	3.0 B1.3
Interpretation:	 As per ASR-103-V3.0 Policy for Scope Certificates, section B1.3, "Each scope certificate shall have a unique alphanumeric scope certificate number generated by the certification body." Although it is not specifically stated what happens to a scope certificate number if there is an update, the intention is that each issuance of a scope certificate will have a unique number. To maintain traceability, if a scope certificate is amended after its original issuance, an association between the original scope certificate number and revised scope certificate number should be maintained (e.g. original scope certificate number is 12345, and then later revised to 12345-1 due to a site addition). For a certification body reporting data via dTrackit, the original (first issuance) scope certificate number shall be included in the "SC No" field. If a scope certificate is later amended (e.g. due to site inclusion), in addition to the original scope number in the "SC No" field, the revised/new scope certificate number shall be included to dTrackit), only the original scope certificate number shall be listed. For a certification body reporting data manually via an excel data template (i.e. the certification body reporting data manually via an excel data template for website listings, only the current (valid) scope certificate number shall be listed. NOTE: Textile Exchange will be phasing out all excel data templates once all certification bodies are onboarded to dTrackit and therefore, the excel data templates will not be amended to include an additional field for "scope certificate version number" ("SC Version No") that exists within dTrackit. 				
	Updated: 2022.09.22 Originally issued: 2022.01.31				
Date Issued:	2022.09.22	Conformity Date:	2022.09.22	Status:	Issued



Calibration 185	Independently Co number	ertified Subcontracto	or facility	ASR-103-V3	3.0 B3.4
Situation:	There is confusion on the use of the Facility_number and Subcontractor_number in the Facilities page of the scope certificate template because it doesn't match the text in B3.4 of the Policy for Scope Certificates.				
Interpretation:	Each independent Independently Ce number and addr subcontractor (IC certification body independently ce original facility nu facility's audit and scope certificate. NOTE: For scope the Site Appendix	ix tly Certified Subcontr tly certified subcontra ertified Subcontractor ess. The facility numb S_Facility_Number) s but from the certifica rtified subcontractor. Imber provided by the d certificates of independent certificates of independent in the Facilities page 4.4.1 through B3.4.4 ref	actor shall be spec Appendix along w ber of an independ hould not be obta ation body that ini The ICS facility nu certification body d in the site apper ndently certified s	ified in the vith its ICS fac ently certified ined from the tially certified umber refers t that carried ndix of its prir ubcontractor Facility_Num	d current I the to the out the nary rs (ICS),
Date Issued:	2022.07.26	Conformity Date:	2022.07.26	Status:	Issued

Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclaimed inputs - Silk Spinning Waste	GRS-201-V4.2/RCS- 201-V2.2
Situation:	Are "silk wastes" eligible for GRS/RCS certification as "p material"?	ore-consumer
Interpretation:	Waste produced from a silk filament spinning process is silk spun spinning process. Therefore, such waste does reclaimed nor pre-consumer material, and the process of recycling.	not qualify as



Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclain	ned inputs - Silk Spir	ning Waste	GRS-201-V4 201-V2.2	4.2/RCS-	
	considered as pre	Therefore, only yarn hard waste as silk filament or silk spun yarns shall be considered as pre-consumer and only if the next processing step is recycling (e.g. mechanical shredding). Follow the calibration log 148. If a certification body has previously issued a scope certificate for ineligible silk waste:				
	If a certification bo waste:					
	a) the scope certificate may be maintained by the certification body until its expiry, and					
	b) the certification body shall notify the client that their scope certificate cannot be renewed for this product.					
	No recertification is possible with material inputs which do not qualify as reclaimed, and any scope certificates with ineligible inputs issued after April 15 2021 shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately. If the certification body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not certified that reclaimed input before, they shall reach out to Textile Exchange for clarification prior to including it in the scope of GRS or RCS certification. If Textile Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area where the certificate shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately.					
Date Issued:	2022.06.09	Conformity Date:	2022.06.09	Status:	lssued	

Calibration 176	Clarity on CB reporting and invoicing for RAF farms	ASR-107-V2022.0 B2.7
Situation:	Audit times vary with RAF so some CBs are not reporting within an SC until the audit occurs. Per the SC policy, far active SC are to be reported as if they were already audit certification to the RAF standard on the anniversary date	ms that are part of an ed and are continuing
Interpretation:	The certification body shall report all active sites on a RA the anniversary date, regardless of whether the audit ha	·



Calibration 176	Clarity on CB reporting and invoicing for RAF farms			ASR-107-V2022.0 B2.7		
	Exchange will assume the farm will continue to be certified and invoice the certification body accordingly. If the certification body knows the site will not continue to be certified, they shall not report that site in the annual report for that scope certificate.					
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 179	Waiting period fo accreditation	or certification body r	e-	ASR-112-V1.	1 A3
Situation:	In light of recent involuntary withdrawals of certification body accreditations, some CBs have inquired about the desire for re-accreditation by changing their accreditation body once withdrawn or suspended by the previous AB.				
Interpretation:	A certification body whose accreditation has been involuntarily withdrawn by their accreditation body shall not reapply for accreditation to any Textile Exchange standard with any accreditation body for at least a 24-month period following the date of withdrawal by their previous accreditation body. NOTE: An application must be submitted to and subsequently accepted by Textile Exchange prior to submitting an application to the accreditation body (see ASR-101-V2.1 ACP, section D1.1.8).				
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Retirem ent Pendin g

Calibration 180	Assessment of Textile Exchange logo use by CBs	ASR-101-V2.1 C4.6
Situation:	It is not clear in the ACP what ABs shall check in their as regarding CB use of the Textile Exchange logo and CB a Policy.	



Calibration 180	Assessment of T	extile Exchange logo	use by CBs	ASR-101-V2	2.1 C4.6
Interpretation:	standard logo(s) applicable standa Standards Claims placeholder for th demonstrate conf prior to the certifie The accreditation C2.3, C2.4, and C	dy becomes authorize when the certification and has been signed w Policy, section C2.5) the Textile Exchange st formity of logo use for cation body licensing body shall evaluate of 2.5 of TE-301-V1.2 St the certification body.	body licensing co ith Textile Exchan . The certification :andard logo (e.g. assessment by th contract being sig :ertification body o	ntract for the ge (see TE-3 body may us "logo goes h eir accredita gned. conformance	801-V1.2 ae a ere") to tion body with
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued

Calibration 182	Certified organization becomes ineligible	CCS-101-V3.1 B4		
Situation:	A company becomes either banned by Textile Exchange or the United States government sanctions a company, product, or input which results in the company becoming ineligible for certification.			
Interpretation:	In the event that a previously certified component, produidentified as restricted, and therefore ineligible for certification body:			
	a) Shall immediately notify Textile Exchange and withdr certificate(s) of such ineligible entity(ies);	Shall immediately notify Textile Exchange and withdraw the related scope rtificate(s) of such ineligible entity(ies);		
	b) Shall cease all related certification activities within the provided by Textile Exchange;	e prescribed timeline		
	c) Shall notify the certification body of any buyer of the id and any resulting withdrawal if any related transaction c issued during the validity period of the scope certificate;	ertificate(s) has been		
	d) Shall not issue transaction certificates for products at have become ineligible for certification immediately upo For products already certified prior to becoming ineligib	n becoming ineligible.		



Calibration 182	Certified organization becomes ineligible			CCS-101-V3	.1 B4
	Exchange to be co e) Shall not issue months of becom	er them to be certified onsidered ineligible in transaction certificate ing ineligible. es only to outputs of a	nmediately; And	oducts after s	ix
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued

Calibration 186	Merging of SC an	niversary dates		ASR-103-V3	3.0 B1.10
Situation:	B1.10 of SC policy establishes the anniversary date but isn't clear/explicit on how to address the merging of anniversary dates for different standards.				
Interpretation:	scope certificates scope certificate to merged shall use body shall only m and shall not exte scope certificates certificates with t	nization wants to merg s, the certification bod that is closer to expira this reference (earlies erge dates by shorten and validity periods. T s with the previous and he same anniversary of s as per ASR-107 rema	y shall first identif tion. The other sc t) anniversary dat ing the validity pe he certification bo niversary date and date using the ear	y (as reference ope certificat ce. The certifi eriod in the ca dy shall with l issue all new	ce) the ces to be cation llendar draw the v scope
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued

Calibration 187	Mulesing using the ring method	RWS-101a-V2.2 AW3.11
Situation:	Wool producers in Victoria, Australia, are using rubber ricastration) to remove excess skin from the breech area of same effect as standard mulesing. The skin will be pulled	of sheep and give the



Calibration 187	Mulesing using the ring method			RWS-101a- AW3.11	V2.2
	applied to stop the flow of blood and the skin dies and drops off. It will give chronic rather than acute pain to the sheep. This technique falls into the definition of mulesing in the RWS, so it is prohibited.			0	
Interpretation:	AW3.11.1 Freeze mulesing (steining) and any other form of breech modification is prohibited.				
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued

Calibration 181	Clarity of organic materials/produc	status for OCS certi cts	fied	TE-301-V1.2 and B4.2.2	2 B4.2.1
Situation:	When the allowed language in TE-301-V1.2 Standards Claims Policy in B4.2.1- B4.2.2 is applied to the OCS, it may mislead consumers to believe that the organically grown material was certified by the OCS, when in fact, it comes from farms certified under one of IFOAM's Family of Standards.				
Interpretation:	product being cer a) (This product/ certified OCS by [b) Contains [x%]	 A claim maker may use the allowed language below to put the emphasis on the product being certified and not the raw input material: a) (This product/This component) contains [x%] organically grown [material], certified OCS by [CB name] [License Number]. b) Contains [x%] organically grown [material], certified OCS by [CB name] [License Number]. 			
Date Issued:	2022.05.02	Conformity Date:	2022.05.02	Status:	Issued



Calibration 177	Minimum months	s of records for Initial		CCS-201-V3 V2.0 C4 of (& B1.2 of CC	CCS V3.0
Situation:	How many months of records (such as production, attendance, payroll, etc.) should the facility have before a GRS audit can be conducted if a facility was just established?				
Interpretation:	If a brand new facility is being certified, at least three months of operation records are needed to ensure a proper CCS and/or GRS audit.				
Date Issued:	2022.04.28	Conformity Date:	2022.04.28	Status:	Issued

Calibration 161	Collectors and Co Geographic Scop	oncentrators Outside pe		GRS-201-V4 201-V2.2 A4 Guidance	
Situation:	If a certification body has a limited geographic scope of operations, may the certification body's material recycler clients source from collectors or concentrators which are located outside of the geographic scope?				
Interpretation:	the material recyclapplies: 1. The collector or 2. The certification concentrator to a	All collectors and concentrators shall be located within the geographic scope of the material recycler's certification body, unless one of the following options applies: 1. The collector or concentrator is independently certified to the RCS or GRS; or 2. The certification body outsources all required evaluation of the collector or concentrator to a certification body who is accredited for the RCS and/or GRS with a geographic scope which includes the collector or concentrator's			ptions or GRS; or ector or /or GRS
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 165	-	ning guidance for Sco Transaction Certifica	-	ASR-103-V3 ASR-104-V3 of SC, B2.2 (3.0 B1.4
Situation:	Some of the Chinese customers have the same English names but different Chinese names. It would be a good practice to have both the Chinese and English names listed under the Name_of_Certified_Organization. It was noticed that some companies would have their English name the same as another organization, but their Chinese name is the unique identifier and cannot be mixed up with another organization. It will create an issue in deduplication.				
Interpretation:	Due to the complexity and in order to have an effective deduplication of sites in the Textile Exchange database, the certification body should mention English name and native language name in scope and transaction certificates as two separate field line items when the native language has non-English characters (e.g. Chinese). Only English charaters are permitted in the English name field. Example: Name_of_Certified_Organisation Native_Name_of_Certified_Organisation				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 166	Submission of Claim Approval Application	TE-301-V1.1 B5	
Situation:	Often, the brand is responsible for the generation of artwork, and their license number will be used on the label. Sometimes there can be confusion between who should submit the label release/approval to their certification body (i.e. the manufacturer, or the brand).		
Interpretation:	: Claim approval applications may be submitted by either the certified brand or the certified final processor of certified goods to the relevant certification body for review (i.e. the certification body who issued the scope certificate to the certified organization) and the artwork shall include the license number of the organization submitting the claim approval application.		



Calibration 166	Submission of Cl	aim Approval Applica	ation	TE-301-V1.1	I B5
	If the certified brand and the final processor are each certified by a different certification body: a) the review of the claim approval application shall be submitted to (and subsequently approved or rejected) by one certification body only; and				
	b) the certification body shall accept the claim approval issued by the certification body of the organization submitting the claim approval application.				
	If a certification body subsequently identifies an error within the artwork after the approval has been issued, they shall notify the certification body that issued the claim approval of the error directly so it can be remedied. If the artwork is not remedied, the certification body who discovered the error should submit a formal complaint to Textile Exchange.				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 169	Raw Material Composition - Decimal Points	ASR-104-V3.0 B2.10		
Situation:	Can we accept or issue a transaction certificate where the raw material composition is mentioned with decimal points? e.g. 50.40% Recycled Pre-Consumer Cotton + 49.60% Polyester			
Interpretation:	For all Textile Exchange standards, raw material composition in each scope and transaction certificate shall be expressed using only whole number(s) and shall not include decimal points (i.e. shall be rounded to the closest whole number).			
e.g. 50% Recycled Pre-Consumer Cotton + 49% Polyester NOTE: Common testing methods can usually verify material of within a tolerance limit of +/- 3% variation only. Therefore, it technically impossible to verify a material composition in deci 50.40%).		erial composition re, it may be		



Calibration 169	Raw Material Composition - Decimal Points			ASR-104-V3.0 B2.10	
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 173	RAF GIS Farm Qu submission optic	uestions: Elimination on.	of Excel	RAF-102-V2 D1.2.1.b	2.1
Situation:	 RAF-102-v2.01, D1.2.1b requires data submission of Farm Questions using an online survey form or an Excel file. This requirement is mandatory as of January 1st, 2022. Textile Exchange made both options available as it was unknown whether the ArcGIS system would be ready by then. As the system was made ready by January 1st, 2022, the Excel file option will no longer be an alternative because it cannot be linked to the automated features of dTrackit, thus requiring manual processing and delays. The system will no longer accommodate manual submission of this information via Excel after March 31st, 2022, so the focus will be on implementing only automated data collection methods. 				
Interpretation:	 The certification body shall report a set of Farm Questions for each certified farm (including each member in the case of Farm Group Certification and Communal Farmer Group Certification). The certification body shall ensure all questions are complete and accurate prior to submission. The options available for submission and with mandatory implementation date no later than April 1st, 2022 are: 1. The online survey form, using the custom link provided to the certification body. 2. A mobile app with a convenient offline feature which allows entering data and submitting it later if there are internet connectivity issues. 				
Date Issued:	2022.01.26	Conformity Date:	2022.01.26	Status:	Issued



Calibration 170	Removal of sheep from natural pasture	RWS-101a-V2.2 AW5.7, AW2.14, AW2.11, AW3.2, LM1.1		
Situation:	Australian dry weather conditions affect the pasture ground cover in farms, forcing the farmer to practice continuous confinement feeding as a regular farming practice. Each year, sheep are held off pasture in a confinement yard for a period of time			
	 Lach year, sheep are need on pasture in a commentent yard for a period of time, usually summer or autumn seasons. The animals cannot demonstrate natural behaviors and have welfare conditions (5 freedoms), and the farmer feeds them with supplementary hay, anipro, and barley. Challenges can arise when the farm uses confinement yards as a management tool rather than as a contingency plan, as the RWS standard specifies. The auditors are signaling confinement feeding as a major non-conformity, but the farmers find it very difficult to stop this practice under the current weather conditions in Australia. 			
Interpretation:	on: The RWS-101a -v2.2 Responsible Wool Standard recognizes in Section Animal Welfare the need to provide the flock with access to natural graz integral part of their living environment (AW2.11) and establishes the requirement to have a pasture-based system for sheep. The only excep when an emergency or severe weather conditions such as droughts, flo fires, heavy snowfall, etc., would otherwise negatively impact sheep we and affect the amount of pasture available for sheep or keeping a health base for it.			
	The CB shall use the following criteria to assess if the farmer complies RWS principles when removing sheep from natural pasture. The farm to meet ALL clauses to be considered compliant with AW2.11:			
1. The farmer has a written document that explains t severe weather affecting the farm and forcing the rea natural pasture, including a detailed management p welfare, as per AW5.7. This clause also covers situat conditions resulting from the change of seasons, wh animal health. Thus, it requires the written plan to ha management (LM1.1) and animal health (AW3.2).		al of sheep from hat guarantees sheep s like weather can affect soil and		



Calibration 170	Removal of sheep from natural pasture			RWS-101a-\ AW5.7, AW2 AW2.11, AW LM1.1	2.14,
	 2. The farm establishes a correct and effective stocking rate and follows it, as per AW2.14. The proper management of this rate can provide, for the most part, enough in-farm produced hay/forage to feed the flock during periods when removed from natural pasture. 3. The farm keeps records of the duration and justification of each emergency or severe weather occurrence that led to removing sheep from natural pasture. Justification may involve information such as weather data, soil moisture deficits, pasture vegetation cover measurement, predator monitoring etc. 				
Date Issued:	2022.01.11	Conformity Date:	2022.01.11	Status:	Issued

Calibration 164	Collectors and Concentrators - Additional verification & physical inspectionGRS-201-V4.2/ 201-V2.2 A4 Guidance		
Situation:	The GRS Implementation Manual V4.2 A4 states that "Certification bodies shall keep a list of all collectors and concentrators that supply to recycling clients. 10% of this total shall be chosen for additional verification, with 2% chosen for physical inspection." It is not clear that if the percentages selected for additional verification should be determined per certification body, per certified organization, or on another basis.		
Interpretation:	The sampling of collectors and concentrators (10% additional verification and 2% physical inspection) shall be determined per certification body and is always rounded up. The 2% sample for physical inspection may be counted towards the 10% sample for additional verification. Example: A certification body has certified 50 GRS material recyclers (mechanical, chemical, and/or biological) in total. Each recycler has one collector and one concentrator. As a result, the certification body will have 50x1 + 50x1 = 100 collectors/concentrators. The certification body therefore		



Calibration 164	Collectors and Concentrators - Additional verification & physical inspection		GRS-201-V4.2/RCS- 201-V2.2 A4 Guidance		
	needs to select 10% of 100 = 10 collectors/concentrators for additional verification and 2% of 100 = 2 collectors/concentrators for physical inspection				
Date Issued:	2021.09.22	Conformity Date:	2021.09.22	Status:	Issued

Calibration 162	Sale of Mixed Down and Feather from a SlaughterASSite3.1				.1 Table
Situation:	Some RDS slaughter sites sell mixed down and feather to sites which are not included in the same scope certificate. The slaughter sites are not able to determine the exact percentage of down and of feather from the total quantity, since these two raw materials are separated at the down processing stage. How should this material be reflected on scope and transaction certificates?				
Interpretation:	The raw material codes RM0330 and RM0331 (PFC) may be used for mixed down and feather on transaction certificates only if the seller named on the transaction certificate is a slaughter site. These codes may also be used on the corresponding scope certificates. These codes shall not be used on scope or transaction certificates where the percentage of down and of feather in the product can be identified.				
Date Issued:	2021.09.16	Conformity Date:	2021.09.16	Status:	lssued



Calibration 159	Physical Possess	sion		CCS-101-V3	3.0 B1		
Situation:	In the CCS we say that traders without physical possession of product do not have to be certified. We occasionally get questions about what 'physical possession' means. Can you draft a calibration for that and let us know what it is so we can add that as a future update to the User Manual as well?						
Interpretation:	material form. It is the proprietary rig For example, whe merely transfer th Here, the process possession of ma	Physical possession of goods is the physical custody or control of goods in material form. It is different from ownership of goods, where an entity acquires the proprietary rights over the goods. For example, when a processor sends materials to a subcontractor, they merely transfer the physical possession and not the ownership of materials. Here, the processor is the owner of the materials but does not have physical possession of materials, and the subcontractor has physical possession of materials but is not the owner.					
	Different actors in the supply chain such as processors, wholesalers, distributors, and retailers usually take physical possession of materials ar products. Others, such as traders, do not take physical possession due to intermediary function. Brands sometimes take physical possession deper on their commercial operations setup. Textile Exchange standards intero cover the different arrangements among supply chain participants while guaranteeing the integrity of the verified materials and products.						
Date Issued:	2021.09.03	Conformity Date:	2021.09.03	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 155	CNCA Registration and Freelancers ASR-101-V2.1 D1 & D3.2.6.f					
Situation:	May a certification body contract with auditors who work for an entity registered with CNCA but which is not accredited to ISO 17065 as a way to meet the Chinese legal requirement for CNCA registration?					
Interpretation:	purpose of a certi subcontractor of 1 17065 accreditati	Any independently owned entity which holds registration with CNCA for the purpose of a certification body operating legally in China is considered to be a subcontractor of the certification body and is therefore required to hold ISO 17065 accreditation. Freelancers in China may be hired by a certification body or certification body subcontractor which holds CNCA registration.				
Date Issued:	2021.07.26	Conformity Date:	2021.07.26	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 151	Organizations Between Farm and First Processor for RAF	RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 B1.3	
Situation:	n some countries, "brokers" take possession of animal fiber in between the arm and the first processor, often to facilitate a sale at auction. It is unclear if nese brokers require certification.		
Interpretation:	Organizations which take legal ownership of animal fiber and the first processor are required to be certified to the where the applicable version of the CCS allows for an exc If an organization takes physical possession of the anima but not legal ownership, the organization shall be treated whoever owns the animal fiber while it is being stored (ty ICS). If the organization arranges for the sale of wool (e.g. at a service fee to the farm, they are not considered to take le they receive payment for the animal fiber and remit it to the organization would be considered to be a broker. If the purchases the animal fiber from the farm for a set price, take take legal ownership.	standard, except ception to certification. al fiber (i.e. for storage) d as a subcontractor by ypically the farm or uction) and charges a egal ownership even if the farm. In this case he organization	



Calibration 151	Organizations Between Farm and First Processor for RAF			RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 B1.3	
Date Issued:	2021.06.04	Conformity Date:	2021.06.04	Status:	Issued

Calibration 153	Extended Timeline For Invoicing ASR-104-V3.0 A6					
Situation:	In some cases, shipping of animal fiber is carried out from the farm to the buyer's warehouse in an extended time prior to invoicing for the fiber (3 months or more, and potentially more than a year). This creates a conflict with the requirement that transaction certificates be issued within 180 calendar days of the shipment date.					
Interpretation:	If a farm ships animal fiber to the buyer's warehouse but does not invoice or transfer legal ownership to the buyer for an extended time, the farm may treat the buyer's warehouse as a subcontracted warehouse, and subsequently apply for a transaction certificate when legal ownership of the animal fiber is transferred to the buyer.					
Date Issued:	2021.06.04	Conformity Date:	2021.06.04	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 149	Restricted Chem	icals in Fiber Produc	tion	GRS-101-V4	.0 D2.2		
Situation:	Textile Exchange has identified that a number of chip, fiber, and filament producers have been certified to the GRS while using restricted chemicals. Textile Exchange has further identified that in many cases there might be no alternative chemical available, and that the requirements of GRS Section D might be so strict as to effectively exclude particular fibers from the GRS system unintentionally.						
Interpretation:	production proces RCS immediately and fibers:						
	and	 DMAC (N N Dimethylacetamide/ Dimethylacetamide) in elastane/spandex; and Antimony trioxide and Cobalt (II) Acetate Tetrahydrate in polyester. 					
		The details of how these chemicals may be used in certified products in the future will be considered in the unified standard development process.					
	NOTE: A previous exception was included in this calibration for scope certificates issued on or before April 15, 2021. All such scope certificates have now expired so that exception has been removed.						
		UPDATED: 2022.01.31					
	ORIGINALLY ISSU	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.04.15					
Date Issued:	2021.04.15	Conformity Date:	2021.04.15	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 145	CB Translations	CB Translations of Documents ASR-101-V2.1 D1.8.1						
Situation:	-	May certification bodies prepare translations of Textile Exchange standards or other Textile Exchange documents to share with their non-English speaking clients?						
Interpretation:	Standards and oth 1. Textile Ex- document in the t- discontinued if Ter- 2. A copy of Exchange by ema 3. For docum for approval from 4. Documen Exchange docum 5. Documen <cb name(s)="">". target language o 6. Certificati encouraged to co 7. Where Ter-</cb>	es may publish their of her documents, provi achange has not publi arget language. Any of extile Exchange publis the translated docum il to Assurance@Tex nents in Chinese or S Textile Exchange bef ts shall not copy any ent. Explanatory grap ts shall include the te This text shall appea n every page. on bodies working in llaborate on translatio xtile Exchange provio the provided terms sh	ded the following shed an official tra certification body shes an official tra ent shall be provid tileExchange.org. panish, the certific fore publishing the photos used in the photos used in the ohics may be copie at "Unofficial trans r in English on the the same region o pons to improve cor des a glossary of tr	conditions ar anslation of th translations s nslation. ded to Textile cation body sl e document. e original Text ed. slation prepa e first page an r language ar nsistency.	e met: he shall be hall wait tile red by d in the			
Date Issued:	2021.03.22	Conformity Date:	2021.03.22	Status:	Issued			



Calibration 140	What is the earlie conducted?	est a recertification a	udit may be	ASR-101-V2 D4.4.20, D4		
Situation:	The ACP D4.4.20 recommends ('should' language) that recertification audits be conducted 60 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate, and D4.6.8 requires that the certification decision be made within 60 days of the audit. How far in advance may a recertification audit be conducted? Is it allowable to finalize a certification decision within 60 days of the audit and issue a scope certificate upon expiry of the previous scope certificate?					
Interpretation:	Recertification audits may be conducted up to 90 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate. If a certification decision is made prior to the expiry of the previous scope certificate, issuing the new scope certificate may then be delayed up to 90 days after the audit date (typically to the anniversary date).					
Date Issued:	2021.01.28	Conformity Date:	2021.04.01	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 131	Non-NPOP Seed Cotton in India OCS-101-V3.0 C1.						
Situation:	Can non-NPOP seed cotton from India be accepted in the OCS supply chain at the ginning stage, if it is certified to another standard that is approved under IFOAM Family of Standards?						
Interpretation:	any organic fibers requirement, to be	Since organic fibres are covered under NPOP and to meet national regulations, any organic fibers originating from India must be certified to NPOP as a basic requirement, to be accepted as OCS Material. Other certifications such as NOP may also be in place for the fibers.					
Date Issued:	2021.01.18	Conformity Date:	2021.01.18	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 134	USDA NOP Eligibility OCS-205-V2.1 I 15				
Situation:	How should the certification body of a supply chain organization (not a first processor) determine the answer to the question "Certification of the organic material used for the products listed complies with USDA NOP rules"?				
Interpretation:	considered to con complies with USI certificate. If any o with USDA NOP re shall be selected. Checking 'Yes' on	companies after the finnply with USDA NOP in DA NOP rules, as indic of the incoming OCS M ules (i.e. 'No' is check this box indicates the cts from the same ship ow for this.	rules if all of the inc cated on the incon Aaterial cannot be ed for this box on t at all listed produc	coming OCS I ning transact confirmed to the incoming ts comply wit	ion comply TC), 'No' :h USDA
Date Issued:	2021.01.18	Conformity Date:	2021.01.18	Status:	Issued

Calibration 136	Definition of "Lot	Definition of "Lot" RAF-101a-V2.1/R 101b-V1.1 G1.7.3 101b-V1.1 G1.7.3				
Situation:	What is referred to by the word "lot" for the purposes of G1.7.3 in the RAF standards? Is it acceptable for a farm group to outsource the storage of bales of wool which may be combined into lots for sale?					
Interpretation:		The word "lot" in G1.7.3 of the RAF standards refers to any discrete, identifiable unit of fiber which cannot be accidentally mixed with other lots.				
Date Issued:	2021.01.15	Conformity Date:	2021.01.15	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 74	Scope of Process	ses for GRS Chemical	Requirements	GRS-101-V4	4.0 D2		
Situation:	Are processes that do not "add" to the product (e.g. spin finishes for yarn) included in the scope of evaluation for chemicals according to the GRS?						
Interpretation:	of the GRS. The G "added to the pro chemicals that ma the following main certified material,	Spin finishers (and processing aids) are still considered to be part of the scope of the GRS. The GRS does not make a distinction between products that are "added to the product," but uses the phrase: "GRS criteria for the use of chemicals that may be used in the production of GRS products are based on the following main requirements" GRS chemical rules are applicable to all certified material, as well as any non-certified materials once they are blended into a certified product and to any other inputs used during production.					
Date Issued:	2021.01.14	Conformity Date:	2021.01.14	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 112	Sufficient Personnel	ASR-101-V2.1 D3.1.1		
Situation:	What is a sufficient number of personnel based on the number of scope certificates?			
Interpretation:	The number of personnel needed for a certificiation body with a set number of scope certificates will vary depending on the circumstances. In determining the number of personnel needed, the certification body should consider: 1. The time required to conduct each audit (including audit time, travel, planning, and reporting), as well as the review/certification decision; 2. The time required for administrative functions (e.g. issuing SCs, TCs, and			
	ted to Textile ks; and			
	5. Full time working hours after regular time off. The number of personnel should not be less than 1 full time equivalent per 100 scope certificates, and this will typically not be sufficient.			



Calibration 112	Sufficient Personnel			ASR-101-V2.1 D3.1.1	
Date Issued:	2021.01.14	Conformity Date:	2021.01.14	Status:	Issued

Calibration 128	RAF ICS in a Diffe	RAF-101a- V2.0/RAF-101b-V1.0 F1.3			
Situation:	,	May an RAF farm group ICS be located in a different country than the farms, provided that necessary management oversight is maintained?			
Interpretation:	the certified organ	or a farm group shall l nization's main operat sequent site in the sco S.	ion is in another c	ountry, it ma	iy be
Date Issued:	2020.12.15	Conformity Date:	2020.12.15	Status:	Issued

Calibration 129	Reclaimed Inputs for Buttons	GRS-301- V4.0/RCS-301-V2.0 A1
Situation:	A button maker punches buttons out of a sheet of resin. from the sheet is reground to be used as an input for but be considered reclaimed/recycled? Is the answer differe process occurs at a different site?	tons. May this material
Interpretation:	The remaining material after buttons are punched out of similar material) shall not be considered to be reclaimed as a raw material input for button making. This includes regrinding is outsourced or is done at a different site. The previous version of this guidance (published 2020.1 affected scope certificates active at that time to remain v Updated: 2022.12.12	or recycled if it is used situations where the 2.14) allowed any



Calibration 129			GRS-301- V4.0/RCS-301-V2.0 A1			
	Orginally issued:	Orginally issued: 2020.12.14				
Date Issued:	2020.12.14	Conformity Date:	2020.02.01	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 130	Certification of N	on-Textile Reclaime	d Materials	GRS-301- V4.0/RCS- A3.1b	301-V2.0
Situation:	What action should certification bodies take before accepting non-textile reclaimed or recycled inputs for RCS or GRS?				
Interpretation:	and due to differir the certification b accepting an appl reclaimed or recy	ange of potential reclang definitions of these ody shall contact Tex lication from an organ cled materials which a 2.07.19. Corrected "sh	terms in different tile Exchange for ization who wishe are not already RC	countries or clarification p to certify no	sectors, prior to on-textile
Date Issued:	2020.12.14	Conformity Date:	2020.12.14	Status:	Issued



Calibration 116	Reclaimed Fur			GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A3.1b	
Situation:	May reclaimed fur	May reclaimed fur be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS?			
Interpretation:		Post-consumer reclaimed fur may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. Pre-consumer reclaimed fur is outside the scope of the standards.			
Date Issued:	2020.11.09	Conformity Date:	2020.11.09	Status:	Issued

Calibration 103	Withdrawing SCs that were issued only as ElectronicASR-101-V2.1certificatesD1.1.14a				1	
Situation:	A certification body uses only electronic certificates, which causes the certification body to lack provisions to comply with requirement D1.1.14a to have clients return all copies of certificates (as no physical certificates are issued).					
Interpretation:	organization's ob original scope cer	In the case of suspension or withdrawal of a scope certificate, the organization's obligations under D1.1.14a are considered to be met if the original scope certificate (if issued as a physical document) is returned to the certification body and all electronic or printed copies of the scope certificate are destroyed.				
Date Issued:	2020.10.29	Conformity Date:	2020.10.29	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 110	Timing of Recert	Timing of Recertification Audits and SC Issuance				
Situation:	Recertification audits are sometimes conducted 2-3 months prior to the expiry of the existing scope certificate, meaning the deadline for the certification decision (60 days after the audit) may fall before the expiry of the previous scope certificate. How should scope certificate issuance and validity dates be handled in this case?					
Interpretation:	each scope certifi more than 60 day decision shall still	Textile Exchange's intention is that the anniversary date remain consistent for each scope certificate. In the case that the recertification audit is conducted more than 60 days prior to the expiry of a scope certificate, the certification decision shall still be made within 60 days, but the certification body may wait until the expiry of the previous scope certificate to issue the new scope certificate.				
Date Issued:	2020.10.29	Conformity Date:	2020.10.29	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 65	Recycled Down a	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1				
Situation:	A down recycler purchases reclaimed down which has been through a light "pre-wash" process. The recycler's washing process is considered to be the recycling process. Does the supplier require RCS/GRS certification?					
Interpretation:	needs to be RCS/ certification body	If the reclaimed down supplier is purchasing down from a collector, the supplier needs to be RCS/GRS certified. If the supplier is acting as a collector, the certification body may agree for the down recycler to accept a Reclaimed Material Declaration Form from the supplier.				
Date Issued:	2020.08.27	Conformity Date:	2020.08.27	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 89	Use of Expired Organic Food	OCS-101-V3.0 C1.1
Situation:	May organic expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. c stores) be accepted as inputs for OCS?	ollected from grocery



Calibration 89	Use of Expired O	rganic Food		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1	
Interpretation:		Food waste which is used as a feedstock for a non-food/feed manufacturing process may be accepted as an OCS input if the following apply:				
		1. The product was intended for use as food/feed and is a waste product from the supply chain or the end user;				
	2. The organic status of the product shall verified through one of the following methods:					
	a. A supply chain	transaction certificate	e from an accepted	l organic star	ndard; or	
	 b. A packaged product which carries an organic label from an accepted organic standard, and where the scope certificate for the final processor (as identified on the packaging) is confirmed. In this case, the processor accepting the food waste shall be considered to be the first processor. Accepted organic standards are identified in OCS 3.0 C1.1. 					
Date Issued:	2020.08.27	Conformity Date:	2020.08.27	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 67	Freedom of assoc	GRS-101-V4	.0 B2.3				
Situation:	Is the client required to have some form of elected worker representation? E.g. union, collective bargaining agreement, or worker committee.						
Interpretation:	worker organizati	The intent of this requirement is to ensure that unions and other forms of worker organization are not blocked/actively avoided. There is no requirement that one be in place if the workers have not chosen to do so.					
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 70	Clarification on Shadow Audit Requirements ASR-101-V2.1 D3.1.5e, f; D3.					
Situation:	May certification bodies perform one shadow audit to the more complex standard (e.g. GRS) and consider this to cover as a shadow audit for all other standards (e.g. CCS, RCS, OCS)?					
Interpretation:	audit which includ requirement for C may meet the sha	Auditor qualification scopes are intended to mirror accreditation scopes. Any audit which includes the CCS (all except farms) may meet the shadow audit requirement for CCS auditor qualification. A GRS audit at a material recycler may meet the shadow audit requirement for RCS auditor qualification. GRS/RCS audits may not be used to complete OCS qualification, or vice versa.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 73	Auditors conducting audits of the same organization in consecutive years			ASR-101-V2 D1.2.13c	.1	
Situation:	If there are multiple auditors on an audit team, does the limit of three consecutive years apply to all auditors or only to the lead auditor?					
Interpretation:	· ·	The requirement for an auditor to not audit the same organization in more than three consecutive years applies to all auditors.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 94	Combined Audit Checklists	ASR-101-V2.1 D4.4.19			
Situation:	May a certification body produce a combined checklist or report intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard? What approval for this is needed?				
Interpretation:	A certification body may produce a combined checklist or report template intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard, provided that all required elements for the Textile Exchange standard are				



Calibration 94	Combined Audit Checklists		ASR-101-V2.1 D4.4.19			
	· ·	included. No special approval is needed, though the checklist or template shall be evaluated by the accreditation body during the next office assessment.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 88				GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	.0/RCS-		
Situation:	May expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as recycled inputs?						
Interpretation:	be accepted as ar	Food waste which is used as feedstock for a (non-food) recycling process may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. If the food waste is collected in the supply chain (e.g. from grocery stores) it shall be considered to be pre- consumer.					
Date Issued:	2020.07.07	Conformity Date:	2020.07.07	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 62	Oligomer Recycling			GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	.0/RCS-	
Situation:	There is a lack of clarity regarding oligomer recycling. Previous guidance from Textile Exchange did not allow oligomers to be considered reclaimed/recycled.					
Interpretation:	under GRS and R	Oligomers produced during processing may be accepted as a reclaimed input under GRS and RCS. If the oligomers are purchased by a recycler they may be considered to be pre-consumer.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.02	Conformity Date:	2020.07.02	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 78	Certification of O	cean Waste		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1			
Situation:	Some organizations wish to claim reclaimed ocean waste (waste collected from in or near oceans) specifically on GRS and RCS transaction certificates. Standard requirements do not validate that the plastic is in fact ocean plastic.						
Interpretation:	oceans and/or fro the water level at recycling systems No claims relating certificates unless 1. The collector, c 2. In this case, the certificate to proc 3. All material is c collector and con 4. "Reclaimed oce certificate. It may 5. For sites after t the incoming tran 6. Public facing cl with the RCS or G Note: This issue h	ean waste" may be me not be mentioned on he material recycler, r isaction certificate. Ar aims relating to reclai RS standard names o has been identified for uring the next RCS an	0.2 km of the wate s higher). Materia as reclaimed oce vaste may be inclu ons are met: andlers are either y accept an incom ned ocean waste the scope certific reclaimed ocean v nd med ocean waste r logos.	er level at low ils from munic an waste. uded on trans RCS or GRS hing RCS tran during audits 5 of the transa ate. vaste is indica e are not asso by the Interna	tide or cipal saction certified. saction of the action ated on ciated		
Date Issued:	2020.07.02	Conformity Date:	2020.07.02	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 64	Shadow Assessm	ASR-101-V2 C4.6.3b, C4.6.4b, C4.6.8	.0				
Situation:		garding definition of a Idits or also include re		ent - do thes	e mean		
Interpretation:	as defined in the s Exchange also be body auditor cond The minimum free standard, and we conducting more assessments is m IOAS definitions u Witness Audits - a auditor(s) at work to the audit, and a questions after th assessor will not s should be full aud Review Audits - ad information from t decision; it includ for the last inspec Duration may vary expected to be a f	References to accreditation body shadow assessments refer to witness audits as defined in the situation. Review audits are a valuable tool, but Textile Exchange also believes that it is important to observe how the certification body auditor conducts the audit. The minimum frequency for shadow assessments is one per two years per standard, and we know that in many circumstances accreditation bodies are conducting more shadows than this. After the minimum number of shadow assessments is met, review audits as described may be used. IOAS definitions used in this calibration: Witness Audits - accreditation body assessor observes certification body 's auditor(s) at work; assessor and auditor(s) have an introductory meeting prior to the audit, and an exit meeting for feed-back and clarification of any questions after the audit, neither meeting involving the audited organization; assessor will not speak during the audit but may take notes. Witness audits should be full audits. Review Audits - accreditation body assessor visits the organization and verifies information from the certification body's latest audit report and certification decision; it includes interviewing the operator or the person who was present for the last inspection, reviewing records and a physical visit to the premises. Duration may vary according to operation size and complexity but is not expected to be a full repeat audit. The auditor that conducted the reviewed audit is not required to be present;					
Date Issued:	2020.02.20	Conformity Date:	2020.02.20	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 10	Generating Pre-C	Consumer Waste		GRS-101-V 101-V2.0 A	-		
Situation:	Pre-consumer waste that is collected from a production stage and then used again in the same production stage may undergo processing steps that meet the definition of "recycled material ".						
Interpretation:	material if they are	Production wastes may only be considered to be pre-consumer reclaimed material if they are reprocessed through a recycling process at a site with 'recycling' included as a process in its scope. (Updated 2020.06.30)					
Date Issued:	2019.05.01	Conformity Date:	2019.05.01	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 23	Transition Betwe	en Standard Version	S	ASR-101-V2	.1D4.4.2		
Situation:	Transition from o	Transition from old standard version to new version.					
Interpretation:	requirements as of after publication i conformity to the scheduled audit. Certification bodie date for applicable Organizations aud operate in conform	ations shall be in confo of the mandatory impl- n the case of a standa updated standard or u es shall inform their cl e new standards or re dited on or after the m mance with and be sul any unannounced au	ementation date (rd). The certificat requirements as c ients of the mand quirements. (Upd andatory implem oject to the criteri	typically one ion body shal of the next reg atory implem lated 2020.06 entation date a of both doci	year I check ularly entation 5.30) shall		
Date Issued:	2018.08.01	Conformity Date:	2018.08.01	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 45	Re-Recycling of I	Post-Consumer Mate	erials	GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1 definition of consumer	,		
Situation:		Can waste from post-consumer recycling activities still be considered post- consumer waste?					
Interpretation:	consumer) recycl provided that the determined. If the final recycling pro	Post-consumer recycled material which is put through an additional (pre- consumer) recycling process may continue to be considered post-consumer, provided that the percentage of post-consumer content can be accurately determined. If the percentage of material which is post-consumer prior to the final recycling process cannot be accurately determined, the material shall be considered to be pre-consumer. (Updated 2020.06.30)					
Date Issued:	2017.03.01	Conformity Date:	2017.03.01	Status:	Issued		