

Calibration Log

The following is a list of calibration items issued by Textile Exchange. This list is provided publicly on the <u>Textile Exchange website</u>.

Calibration items shown apply to current normative documents, or to documents which are currently being phased out (Status: "Retirement Pending"). These represent supplemental guidance which Textile Exchange will integrate into guidance documents such as User Manuals were possible. Calibration only applies to the applicable version of a document, where it is specified.

Each calibration item has been assigned a unique number for easy reference. This can be found at the top left corner, before the calibration title (e.g. "Calibration 150"). Please note that not all numbers will be listed nor listed sequentially due to some calibration items having been rejected, retired, or are still pending review/approval.

All calibration items will be retired with the release of the next major revision of the applicable Textile Exchange standard and/or policy. Any calibration items that still apply will then be reissued as applicable.

An automatic 14-day consultation period applies for each calibration item after the first time it is published for certification bodies, during which Textile Exchange will accept feedback to Assurance@TextileExchange.org. Certification bodies shall apply the calibration as applicable during this time.

Calibration 229	229. Transaction Certificate Issuance after Transferring between Certification Bodies	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.2		
Situation:	An organization may choose to change certification bodies. In this case it presents challenges to obtain transaction certificates from the previous certification body. A certification body could also have their accreditation suspended or withdrawn, affecting their ability to issue transaction certificates. The existence of transaction certificates available in dTrackit now mitigates the risk of volume reconciliation errors or volume fraud.			
Interpretation:	"preceding certification body" to the "succeeding certification when the transfer occurs at recertification), the certification body may issue transaction certificates for stime when the organization was certified with the precede provided that all of the following conditions are met:	organization held a valid scope certificate at the time of the shipment,		



Calibration 229	229. Transaction Certificate Issuance after Transferring between Certification Bodies	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.2		
	2. All applicable input transaction certificates were issued on or after October 2022, and the inputs are not seed cotton (PC0088) or lint cotton (PD0074);			
	3. The following transaction certificates have been received by Textile Exchange's dTrackit system and can be authenticated in the Textile Exchang Transaction Certificate Verification Portal at https://textileexchange.org/authenticate-transaction/:			
	a. All applicable input transaction certificates issued to standards for the transaction certificate to be issued; an			
	b. All output transaction certificates issued to the orga standard since October 1, 2022;	nization for the same		
	4. The two certification bodies shall agree that the succe body will be responsible for issuing transaction certificate after a specified date;	•		
	5. The succeeding certification body has conducted a versure that claimed material has not been over-sold by including receiving volume reconciliation data from the body; and	the organization,		
	6. The succeeding certification body shall meet the follo	wing data criteria:		
		ne preceding certification body's scope certificate number in the data field on the dTrackit data submission for the succeeding body's scope certificate;		
	b. Specify the certification body at the time of the ship referencing the preceding certification body's licensing certified by CB-ABC on the applicable shipment date(s).	code (e.g. "Seller was		
	c. Fully implement Textile Exchange's criteria for the scNo and scVersionNo (see Calibration 172); and			
	d. If any inputs are GOTS certified, submit full data for back to the first processor into dTrackit.	transaction certificates		



Calibration 229	229. Transaction Transferring bety		ASR-104-V	3.0 A8.2		
	NOTE: Textile Exchange will review these criteria for future updates to ASR-104 Policy for Transaction Certificates. All other criteria for transaction certificates, including those in ASR-106 Accepted Equivalent Standards, continue to apply.					
Date Issued:	2023.03.27	Conformity Date:	2023.03.27	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Data	RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 F2.6.2		
Situation:	RAF farm group criteria require the ICS to maintain maps or sketches of each farm showing where animals are located. Textile Exchange is moving to require that GIS data be submitted by each farm. How does this affect the criterion relating to maps?			
Interpretation:	n RAF farm group or communal farmer group ICS is not required to maintain haps or sketches of each farm showing where animals are located provided hat the ICS maintains the following, which can be clearly linked per farm:			
	1. A list of farms which can be linked back to the scope certificate;			
	2. A copy of the Farm Questions for each farm, as required by Textile Exchange's system; and			
	3. Polygon data (i.e. GIS shapefiles) for each farm showing amount of farmland.	ng the location and		
	Note: Textile Exchange's system will not automatically give the ICS access to the GIS data for each member farm collected by Textile Exchange, but will provide a mechanism for the ICS to access the information in the future. Additional fees may apply for this access.			
	UPDATED: 2023.02.28			
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.08.20			



Calibration 154	Maps vs GIS Data			RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 F2.6.2	
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued

Calibration 189	Certificate Authentication	ASR-103-V3.0, ASR-104-V3.0 A4		
Situation:	Scope certificates and Transaction certificates are fundamental pieces in the integrity system of Textile Exchange standards. Certification bodies must consistently authenticate input transaction certificates to safeguard the continuous flow of goods in the supply chain (see CCS-102-V3.0 E2.1.4). Some CBs are not fulfilling in a timely manner their obligation to provide evidence of scope and transaction certificate authenticity. Textile Exchange has created a transaction certificate authentication portal which presently allows for the authentication of some transaction certificates.			
Interpretation:	In order to authenticate a transaction certificate, the certification body shall use one of the following options: 1. Authentication via the portal at https://textileexchange.org/authenticate-transaction/. This should be used as the preferred option where possible. This method will become the only accepted method in the future. 2. Authentication via the certification body's own database system, if the transaction certificate was issued by the same certification body. OR 3. Authentication via the method specified by the issuing certification body at https://textileexchange.org/certificates. If an input transaction certificate cannot be authenticated, the certification body shall not accept it. See CCS-102-V3.0 E2.1.4. Each certification body is required to update Textile Exchange with how their			



Calibration 189	Certificate Authe	ntication		ASR-103-V ASR-104-V	•
	4. If a different method of authentication is used for some of a certification body's scope certificates (e.g. for specific standards or countries), this information shall be included.				
	5. If all of the certification body's transaction certificates are available via Textile Exchange's transaction certificate portal, reference to this portal is sufficient to meet ASR-103-V3.0 A4.2.				
	6. If transaction certificate authentication is conducted manually, a maximum of two business days is allowed for the verification to be completed when the certification body receives a request (see ASR-103-V3.0 A4.2.1).				
	7. In the case of automated methods of transaction certificate authentication such as a single webpage with verification capabilities, accessed by using a URL or QR code, the certification body shall provide instructions to users in case the system is not returning valid evidence of verification.				
	UPDATED: 2023.02.28				
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2022.08.01				
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued

Calibration 209	Clarifications for Processing Categories	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.2	
Situation:	It is unclear how specific processing categories shall be used.		
Interpretation:	The following clarifications are provided for processing categories:		
	Processing - A facility shall be considered to be a processor when any process category other than PR0000 (no processing), PR0035 (brand), PR0025 (reta sales), PR0030 (trading), PR0031 (warehousing, distribution), and PR0041 (Buying house) applies to the site. A processor site may also qualify for any of these process codes except for PR0000 (see below).		



Calibration 209	Clarifications for Processing Categories	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.2			
	No processing (PR0000) - This processing category shall apply when no other processing category is suitable. It is typically used for sites without physical possession within the same scope certificate as processing sites.				
	Brand (PR0035) - This process category is only to be used for a site that controls the design and development of final products. The supply chain for any certified final product sold to a consumer includes exactly one brand. Note that the correct use of this processing category is essential to ensure that brands are given correct access to dTrackit. This process code does not apply to subcontractors.				
	Example: A site produces a branded fabric (an intermed which is sold to garment factories to be made into jacket as a final product. The site shall not have PC0035 listed	s. The fabric is not sold			
	Example: A spinner produces and sells yarn primarily to fabric mills. However, the spinner also sells some yarns direct to consumers in a retail shop and online for craft purposes. The spinner is the brand for the yarns which are sold directly to consumers.				
	Packing (PR0020) - This process category is considered shall only be used when the packing carries a risk of processing in labels relating to the Standard. It is not intend are distributing discrete products and not applying labe	duct contamination or a ed for facilities which			
	Examples of packing: Bagging of bulk down; Applying halogo to garments; Packing individual socks into 10-pack	• •			
	Examples that are not considered packing: Picking finished garments (style, size, color) and boxing them together for shipment to an individual retail store; Adding or removing polybags on garments, unless the polybags carry the Standard's logo; Putting non-certified products into GRS-certified packaging				
	Trading (PR0030) - This process category shall only ap that are purchasing and selling claimed materials without inputs and outputs are identical for some products acrossame scope certificate. The organization or site may also which they process. An office-only site for a manufacture as a process category unless the organization purchases materials that are not processed at any of their sites.	ut processing them, i.e. as all sites within the bave other products er shall not list trading			



Calibration 209	Clarifications for Processing Categories			ASR-213-V1 3.2	.1 Table	
	Warehousing, distribution (PR0031) - This process category shall only apply to facilities that are physically handling products without processing them, i.e. inputs and outputs from the facility are identical. The site may also have other products which they process.					
	claimed materials more subcontract scope certificate i subcontractor and scope certificate.	Buying House (PRO041) - A buying house does not take physical possession of claimed materials, but takes legal ownership and has them processed by one or more subcontractors. This process code does not apply to subcontractors. If a scope certificate includes PRO041, a minimum of one processing subcontractor and corresponding processing category shall be included on the scope certificate. NOTE: The process code PRO041 is new and will be included in the next update				
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 220	Mixed Fibers and Proportion of Fibers	CCS-101-V3.1 D4		
Situation:	Pre- and post-consumer waste such as yarn or non-woven textiles are recyclinto various textile materials. CCS D4.2 calls for a material composition test report of the output claimed material, but this requirement has proven to be unpractical due to:			
	The high cost and duration of testing,The impossibility of finding the exact proportion of eac material, and	h fiber in the output		
	- The error factor introduced by the big amount of different along with their size and weight.	ent input materials,		
	For example, 1000 garments will have 1000 varieties of and each garment's weight will be slightly different.	blends or materials,		



Calibration 220	Mixed Fibers and	l Proportion of Fibers		CCS-101-V3	3.1 D4	
Interpretation:	When mixed fibers are used in raw materials, there is no need to do a material composition test to know the percentage of each fiber present. The raw material codes for mixed fibers (RM0258, RM0259, RM0260, RM0261) shall only be used by the material recycler. No other operator in the supply chain, after the recycler, is allowed to change the mixed fiber codes in the output transaction certificate or when they are used in the material composition on the input transaction certificate. A blend of virgin material shall not be defined as "mixed fibers" in any case. The mixed-fiber codes are only allowed to be used by recyclers for preconsumer and post-consumer waste. When an input transaction certificate specifies that a product contains mixed fibers, this same designation shall be used in the output transaction certificate. Even when the detailed results of a material composition test are available, the transaction certificate shall list "mixed fibers" with the corresponding raw material code rather than separating out individual fibers.					
Date Issued:	2023.02.28	Conformity Date:	2023.02.28	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 148	Ineligible Reclaimed Inputs	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1	
Situation:	Textile Exchange has become aware of several situations where certification bodies have issued scope certificates to material recyclers for material which has been accepted by the certification body as pre-consumer, but which does not match Textile Exchange's definition of pre-consumer material. Textile Exchange acknowledges that this may have related to a lack of clarity in past guidance.		
Interpretation:	Any scope certificates with ineligible inputs (i.e. which do not qualify as reclaimed) shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall withdrawn immediately. No recertification (with the same certification body of a new certification body) is possible in these cases.		



Calibration 148	Ineligible Reclain	ned Inputs		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1		
	If the certification body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not certified that reclaimed input before, they should reach out to Textile Exchange for clarification prior to including it in the scope of GRS or RCS certification. If Textile Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area where the certification body did not request guidance from Textile Exchange, the scope certificate shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately. NOTE: A previous exception was included in this calibration for scope certificates issued on or before April 15, 2021. All such scope certificates have now expired so that exception has been removed.				Exchange ation. If ation body a shall	
	UPDATED: 2023.	UPDATED: 2023.01.31				
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.04.15					
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 178	Criteria for the product category PC0029 Dyed Yarn for reclaimed dyed inputs	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.3	
Situation:	Under GRS/RCS, certain products are made from dyed reclaimed inputs (preconsumer or post-consumer), and additional dyeing is not done on such products. Can we call such yarn 'undyed' or 'greige' yarn instead of 'dyed yarn'?		
Interpretation:	When product inputs used by a certified site have been previously dyed but only identified as dyed products as a result of a previous recycling process, they shall be identified with the 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) category to avoid confusion with 'Undyed Yarn' (PC0031), which shall not be used on any dyed material.		



Calibration 178	Criteria for the pr	oduct category PC0 ed inputs	029 Dyed Yarn	ASR-213-V1 3.3	.1 Table	
	"Product's color w certified supply cl dyed and the resu process.". This in	A supplier may request the certification body to include a statement such as "Product's color was maintained from its life cycle previous to entering the certified supply chain." or "Product is made from inputs which were previously dyed and the resulting product color is not a result of an additional dyeing process.". This information can be included in box 12 of the Transaction Certificate template (ASR-205-V3.0).				
	already been dyed color sorting). In s site, but washing fabric. In such a ca input is dyed recla reclaimed product yarn' (PCOO29) ca	NOTE: Post-consumer or pre-consumer reclaimed products could have already been dyed in a previous life cycle and recycled (a process based on color sorting). In such a process, dyeing might not be performed by a certified site, but washing or finishing could be done on reclaimed product, yarn, or fabric. In such a case, the output will also be 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) since the input is dyed reclaimed product (pre-consumer / post-consumer). When reclaimed products that have been previously dyed are certified, the 'Dyed yarn' (PC0029) category shall be used to avoid confusion with 'Undyed Yarn' (PC0031), which shall not be used on any dyed material.				
	UPDATED: 2022.01.31					
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2022.06.08					
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 213	Invalidating or an	mending a transaction	on certificate to	CCS-102-V3	3.0
Situation:	_	certificate be invalida ertificate for another s			oe used
Interpretation:	upgrade the claim	1. The issuing certification body may amend an RCS transaction certificate to upgrade the claim to GRS, provided that the following criteria have been met: a. The order was eligible to be sold as GRS (including but not limited to the seller having GRS certification in place and all processing criteria being met);			
	b. The issuing certification body confirms that the transaction certificate has not been used as the input to any other transaction certificates (with confirmation from the buyer's certification body if needed). 2. CCS-102-V3.0 E2.2.1.c allows for a transaction certificate to be amended to downgrade the claim from GRS to RCS, so this is not necessary in that case.				
	3. Volume which was previously included on a transaction certificate for a standard not owned by Textile Exchange (e.g. GOTS or a certification bodyowned recycling standard) shall not be used on a transaction certificate for a Textile Exchange standard.				
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 216	GRS Modules for	Sites without Physic	al Possession	GRS-101-V4	I.O A3.2c	
Situation:	Do the GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials?					
Interpretation:	without physical phouses. These criclaimed materials	The GRS social, environmental, and chemical criteria do not apply to sites without physical possession of GRS materials such as traders and buying houses. These criteria still apply to facilities with physical possession of claimed materials, including subcontractors. Chain of custody criteria from the CCS do apply to these sites when they are				
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 217	Listing Brand Na	mes on Scope Certifi	cate	ASR-104-V	3.0 B3	
Situation:	When a certified brand sells certified products under a brand name which is not listed as a site on the scope certificate, should that brand name be somehow listed on the scope certificate?					
Interpretation:	listed as a site on of all applicable by above the site apprentificate shall be just as a brand na	When a certified brand sells certified products under a brand name which is not listed as a site on the scope certificate, the certification body should add a list of all applicable brand names to the Facilities Page of the scope certificate, above the site appendix. Note that the buyer on the incoming transaction certificate shall be listed as a site on the brand's scope certificate (rather than just as a brand name). A format for doing this will be provided with ASR-204 Scope Certificate Template when it is next updated.				
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 222	Water deprivatio lactating period.	n for ewes in late pre	gnancy or	RWS-101a- AW1.7.3	V2.2	
Situation:	The requirement in the RWS, for ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period, to not be deprived of water for more than 8 hours, doesn't conform to industry best practice recommendations for preparation time for shearing. These guidelines propose a longer withdrawal from water to allow animals to empty out to protect their health and comfort when they are sheared. These industry guidelines are also taking account of worker health and safety, as empty sheep are lighter and so cause less strain on the shearer. Australian and New Zealand guidelines suggest a minimum of 8 hours and maximum of 20 hours off water for ewes that are in late pregnancy or lactating.					
Interpretation:	more than 20 hou This requirement directly contradic	Ewes in late pregnancy or lactating period shall not be deprived of water for more than 20 hours. This requirement supersedes the criterion AW1.7.3 in the standard since this directly contradicts it and is based on further information which is now available to Textile Exchange.				
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 224	Change of Accreditation Bodies	ASR-101-V2.1 D1.1.8.a	
Situation:	What needs to be considered for a certification body to change accreditation bodies?		
Interpretation:	An accredited certification body may change accreditation voluntary or involuntary reasons. An involuntary change in accreditation body occurs whe body is no longer able and willing to offer accreditation for body's scope (e.g. is no longer a Textile Exchange accreditation ger accepting certification bodies in a specific country other reason is a voluntary change in accreditation body	en the accreditation or the certification editation body, or is no ey). A change for any	



Calibration 224	Change of Accred	ditation Bodies		ASR-101-V2 D1.1.8.a	2.1	
	The following step	ps shall apply for a ch	ange in accreditat	ion body:		
	change accredita Certification Body 2. If the succeeding	 The certification body shall notify Textile Exchange of their intention to change accreditation body and submit an updated copy of ASR-206 Certification Body Application Form naming the new accreditation body. If the succeeding accreditation body is not an authorized Textile Exchange accreditation body, the certification body shall pay the new accreditation body 				
		fee (see ASR-107 Certification Fee Structure) and the accreditation body shall complete the authorization process before the change is approved.				
	3. In the case of a voluntary change in accreditation body, the certification body shall meet the following criteria:				ation body	
	a. Any assessment which has been started by the preceding accreditation body has been completed and the accreditation decision has been made.				ation body	
	 b. The certification body shall have a positive accreditation decision from the last assessment with the preceding accreditation body (i.e. there is no suspension or withdrawal of part or all of the scope of accreditation). c. The certification body shall be up to date with all required submissions to Textile Exchange, including site fees and data submissions, and shall not be under sanction from Textile Exchange. 					
	4. Once the above criteria have been satisfied, Textile Exchange will approve the change in accreditation body.					
	5. The succeeding accreditation body shall consider all assessment reports from the preceding accreditation body for at least the previous two years in conducting their initial assessment.					
Date Issued:	2023.01.31	Conformity Date:	2023.01.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 15	Pre-Consumer G	lass (Moil)		GRS-101-V ² 101-V2.0 A1 definition of consumer	,
Situation:	May glass moil be	May glass moil be considered to be reclaimed material?			
Interpretation:	considered recycl considered pre-co stage and is within rejection.		from breakage ar undergoes an ado he percentage of b	nd rejection n litional repro oreakage and	nay be cessing I
Date Issued:	2023.01.19	Conformity Date:	2023.01.19	Status:	Issued

Calibration 184	Inputs from Tann Abbatoirs	eries, Slaughterhous	ses, and	GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	-	
Situation:	May animal hides/fibers and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, or abattoir be accepted as reclaimed inputs?					
Interpretation:	tannery, slaughte reclaimed inputs f NOTE: Calibration	Animal fibers (including wool), animal hides, and leather sourced from a tannery, slaughterhouse, abattoir, or similar operation shall not be accepted as reclaimed inputs for RCS or GRS. NOTE: Calibration 148 addresses steps for certification bodies when there is ambiguity about whether or not a material may be accepted as reclaimed.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 188		ertified Subcontracto hange Standards	or SC use for	CCS-102-V3	3.0 D3.4
Situation:		ly certified GRS subco an RCS scope certific		d to produce f	RCS
Interpretation:	certified RCS subcertification. Inde GRS subcontracted associated subcontracted associated subcontracted associated subcontracted subcontracte	CS/GRS, certification a subcontractor to quay be considered in .0 Appendix B2 o.). C ng GOTS) does not fa	ey do not hold sep CS subcontractors so GRS certified or to another Textile ualify as independ the subcontractor ertification to a no	e arate RCS s shall not be are treated a e Exchange s ently certified 's risk assess on-Textile Exc	used as as tandard d, though ment
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 215	Approval for VR2	Certifications		CCS-105-V3	3.0 B1.2-	
Situation:	It is unclear when approval from Textile Exchange is required to use VR2 material.					
Interpretation:	schedules an aud reconciliation dire	Approval from Textile Exchange is required before the certification body schedules an audit for any site which is implementing alternative volume reconciliation directly to produce VR2 materials. No special approval is needed for sites that purchase and sell VR2 materials based on the criteria of the CCS.				
Date Issued:	2022.12.31	Conformity Date:	2022.12.31	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 207	Sale of Claimed N Location	Material at a Shared S	Subcontractor	ASR-104-V	3.0 A8.3	
Situation:	What should the shipping date be for transaction certificate purposes if claimed material changes ownership from one certified organization to another while being stored at a third-party facility which is a subcontractor for both the seller and the buyer?					
Interpretation:	If claimed material is sold and there is no physical shipment of the material associated with the sale (e.g. the same facility is acting as a storage subcontractor for both the buyer and the seller), the date of the change in legal ownership - typically the invoice date - shall be used as the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes.					
Date Issued:	2022.12.13	Conformity Date:	2022.12.13	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 80	Accepting Organ	ic Inputs for OCS		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1
Situation:	Are all national organic standards accepted for OCS inputs? May organic inputs which have been processed after the farm be accepted for OCS?				
Interpretation:	from farms which NOP, Regulation (Standards). A nat not be used to pro-		e of the three cate 2018/848, or IFO d which is not incl on material inputs a not the first prod or certified to a sta	egories listed AM Family of uded in this l for OCS. eessor) may o ndard listed a	(USDA ist shall
Date Issued:	2022.12.12	Conformity Date:	2022.12.12	Status:	Issued



Calibration 192	Classification of a certificate?	a rented facility in the	escope	CCS-101-V3	3.1 C5.2	
Situation:	A certified organization rents a facility for the washing process and pays all costs involved such as labor, utilities and materials. Is this facility a site or a subcontractor?					
Interpretation:	labor, overhead, a organization and a site, not a subco	When the certificate holder rents a facility to conduct a process and pays for labor, overhead, and materials used, the facility will be considered a part of the organization and shall be listed in the Site Appendix on the scope certificate as a site, not a subcontractor. Updated: 2022.12.12				
	originally leaded.	Originally issued: 2022.09.22				
Date Issued:	2022.12.12	Conformity Date:	2022.12.12	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 197	Certified Sites as	Associated Subcont	ractors	CCS-101-V3	.1 C5.2		
Situation:	May the scope certificate holder use a subcontractor who is independently certified to the same standard as an associated subcontractor?						
Interpretation:	Exchange standa	A subcontractor facility that is independently certified to the same Textile Exchange standard shall not be listed as an associated subcontractor since they hold an independent scope certificate.					
Date Issued:	2022.12.01	Conformity Date:	2022.12.01	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sales Setups	ASR-104-V3.0 A8.3			
Situation:	Various transportation and storage arrangements exist fibers prior to the spinning stage. These agreements inc	•			
	1. "Ex-Works" arrangements where animal fiber is sold (ownership) but stored for some time at the seller's stora subsequently arranges transportation for the fiber.				
	2. Arrangements where the farm or farm group stores unprocessed fibers at a storage or processing facility (e.g., a scour) before selling the fibers. The fiber may be sold to the processor or to another party in processed or unprocessed form. In both of these scenarios, the animal fiber is being sold but is not physically shipped at the time of sale.				
Interpretation:	When animal fibers (before the spinning stage) are stored at a facility that does not belong to the owner of the fibers, that facility shall be considered to be a subcontractor for storage, even if that facility is also the seller on the incoming transaction certificate or buyer on the outgoing transaction certificate of the organization which owns the fibers. When animal fibers change ownership without physically moving between facilities, the date of the ownership change may be accepted as the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes. The change in legal ownership is based on the invoice date when there is no physical shipment of material.				
	Examples:				
	1. After selling unprocessed wool to a buyer, the seller stores the wool for 87 days. The buyer then arranges for the wool to be picked up and transported to the buyer's facility. In this case, the "shipment date" for the transaction certificate would be the same as the sale date, and the seller would then act as the buyer's subcontractor for the wool storage (87 days).				
	2. A farm group ships unprocessed mohair to a scouring facility, and the scouring facility stores the mohair for 56 days. At the end of the 56 days, the farm group sells the mohair to the scouring facility. The scouring facility acts as a subcontractor for the 56 days before the sale. The "shipping date" occurs at the end of the 56 days for transaction certificate purposes.				



Calibration 193	Animal Fiber Sale	es Setups		ASR-104-V	′3.0 A8.3		
	the fiber for 43 da processing facility has the fiber ship processing subco	3. A broker ships unprocessed alpaca fiber to a processing facility and stores the fiber for 43 days. The broker then sells the fiber to a separate buyer (not the processing facility), pays for the processing facility to process the fiber then has the fiber shipped to the buyer. The processing facility acts as a storage and processing subcontractor, and the "shipping date" for transaction certificate purposes is the date when the fiber is shipped from the processing facility to the buyer.					
Date Issued:	2022.11.11	Conformity Date:	2022.11.11	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 191	Transaction certificates without geographic origin information	ASR-104-V3.0 C3.5			
Situation:	The full implementation of ASR-104-v3.0 Policy for Transaction Certificates after July 1, 2022, mandates disclosure of the geographic origin of each raw material. The routine issuance of output transaction certificates has been interrupted because many input transaction certificates issued before July 1 are missing the origin information. Certification bodies request a guidance procedure to manage such transaction certificates whilst conforming to the transaction certificate policy.				
Interpretation:	1. For transaction certificates issued after May 1, 2021, and the certification body shall: a. Request the missing geographical origin information i. The certification body who issued the input transaction ii. The seller as listed on the input transaction certificate. b. Maintain records of collection efforts related to the information in the output transaction certificate, whether successful or not.	from either: ion certificate, or te. aclusion of origin			



Calibration 191	Transaction certi	ificates without geog	raphic origin	ASR-104-V	3.0 C3.5		
	2. If the certification outlined in item 1:	2. If the certification body cannot gather the geographical origin information as outlined in item 1:					
	transaction certifi	The output transaction certificate may be issued only if the input saction certificate is correct and in conformity to the Policy for Transaction ificates that was valid at the time of issuance.					
	b. The certification transaction certification	on body shall enter "N cate.	lot available" in B	ox 11 of the οι	utput		
	3. The Textile Exchange Assurance team may perform its own sampling of transaction certificates to ensure conformity with this calibration. In case of identifying a non-conforming transaction certificate, they may notify the applicable accreditation body for further investigation.						
	before May 1, 202	rtificates missing geo 1, are not subject to th shall comply with iter	ne due diligence ir				
	5. All transaction certificates issued by first processors after November 15, 2022, shall include the geographic origin information or otherwise, shall be considered invalid. All other transaction certificates in the supply chain shall include the geographic origin information in every transaction certificate after March 31, 2023. Any transaction certificate missing the geographic origin information after March 31, 2023, shall be considered invalid.						
Date Issued:	2022.10.13	Conformity Date:	2022.10.13	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 195		e in place of using the RDS-certified down	e term "virgin"	TE-301-V1.2	2 B2.1.1		
Situation:	Many retailers are now using exclusively RDS certified or non-certified down and claiming their "virgin down is RDS-certified". Currently, in the allowed claims language we do not allow organizations to specify that all the down they use is "virgin" and we would like to avoid use of this term as we don't verify this attribute, and it may be confusing to consumers. Organizations still need a way to clearly communicate to consumers that when the down is not recycled, it is RDS certified.						
Interpretation:	only: B2.1.3 For certific (At [company narmaterial/down/w chain is [standard	B2.1 Claims by certified organizations shall be limited to the following language only: B2.1.3 For certified raw materials sourced from farms: (At [company name]), [% of the/All] [organically grown material/down/wool/mohair/alpaca fiber] sourced from farms into our supply chain is [standard name or acronym]-certified. e.g. "At Brand ABC, all down sourced from farms into our supply chain is RDS					
Date Issued:	2022.10.03	Conformity Date:	2022.10.03	Status:	Issued		



Calibration 190	Blending RDS/RA of the same type	AF material with recy	cled material	RDS-101-V3 101a-V2.2/F 101b-V1.2/R V1.0 B2.1.1.k	RAF- AF-101c-	
Situation:		RDS and RAF fibers may be blended with recycled RDS or recycled RAF fibers for a labeled claimed product.				
Interpretation:	An RWS product that contains recycled wool may only qualify for labeling to the RWS if the product contains at least 5% RWS wool and 100% of the wool in the product is certified to either RWS or mixed with RCS or GRS certified wool. The same guidance may be applied for other RAF standards (e.g., blend of RMS and recycled mohair) and for RDS (blend of RDS and recycled down).					
Date Issued:	2022.09.23	Conformity Date:	2022.09.23	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 172	Scope Certificate Number and Scope Certificate Version Number	ASR-103-V3.0 B1.3		
Situation:	If a scope certificate number changes (e.g. as a result of the addition or removal of a product, site, and/or process, or due to a correction made on the scope certificate), how should the certification body report the change to the scope certificate number?			
Interpretation:	As per ASR-103-V3.0 Policy for Scope Certificates, sect certificate shall have a unique alphanumeric scope certificated by the certification body." Although it is not shappens to a scope certificate number if there is an update each issuance of a scope certificate will have a unique number of a scope certificate will have a unique number and association between the original scope certificate scope certificate number should be maintained certificate number is 12345, and then later revised to 123 addition).	ficate number specifically stated what ate, the intention is that umber. d after its original tificate number and (e.g. original scope		



Calibration 172	Scope Certificate Version Number	Number and Scope	Certificate	ASR-103-V	3.0 B1.3	
	For a certification body reporting data via dTrackit, the original (first issuance) scope certificate number shall be included in the "SC No" field. If a scope certificate is later amended (e.g. due to site inclusion), in addition to the original scope number in the "SC No" field, the revised/new scope certificate number shall be included in the "SC Version No" field.					
	the certification b	For a certification body reporting data manually via an excel data template (i.e. the certification body is not yet onboarded to dTrackit), only the original scope certificate number shall be listed.				
	For a certification body reporting data manually via an excel data template for website listings, only the current (valid) scope certificate number shall be listed.					
	NOTE: Textile Exchange will be phasing out all excel data templates once all certification bodies are onboarded to dTrackit and therefore, the excel data templates will not be amended to include an additional field for "scope certificate version number" ("SC Version No") that exists within dTrackit.					
	Updated: 2022.09.22					
	Originally issued: 2022.01.31					
Date Issued:	2022.09.22	Conformity Date:	2022.09.22	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 185	Independently Conumber	ertified Subcontracto	or facility	ASR-103-V3	3.0 B3.4			
Situation:	There is confusion on the use of the Facility_number and Subcontractor_number in the Facilities page of the scope certificate template because it doesn't match the text in B3.4 of the Policy for Scope Certificates.							
Interpretation:	B3.4 Independent Independently Cenumber and address ubcontractor (IC certification body independently ceoriginal facility nutracility's audit and scope certificate. NOTE: For scope	B3.2 Site Appendix B3.4 Independently Certified Subcontractor (ICS) Appendix Each independently certified subcontractor shall be specified in the Independently Certified Subcontractor Appendix along with its ICS facility number and address. The facility number of an independently certified subcontractor (ICS_Facility_Number) should not be obtained from the current certification body, but from the certification body that initially certified the independently certified subcontractor. The ICS facility number refers to the original facility number provided by the certification body that carried out the facility's audit and certification, as listed in the site appendix of its primary						
Date Issued:	2022.07.26	Conformity Date:	2022.07.26	Status:	Issued			



Calibration 168	Ineligible Reclain	ned inputs - Silk Spir	nning Waste	GRS-201-V-201-V2.2	4.2/RCS-	
Situation:	Are "silk wastes" eligible for GRS/RCS certification as "pre-consumer material"?					
Interpretation:	silk spun spinning	Waste produced from a silk filament spinning process is regularly reused in the silk spun spinning process. Therefore, such waste does not qualify as reclaimed nor pre-consumer material, and the process does not qualify as recycling.				
	considered as pre	Therefore, only yarn hard waste as silk filament or silk spun yarns shall be considered as pre-consumer and only if the next processing step is recycling (e.g. mechanical shredding). Follow the calibration log 148.				
	If a certification body has previously issued a scope certificate for ineligible silk waste:					
	a) the scope certificate may be maintained by the certification body until its expiry, and					
		n body shall notify the d for this product.	client that their s	cope certifica	te	
	No recertification is possible with material inputs which do not qualify as reclaimed, and any scope certificates with ineligible inputs issued after April 15, 2021 shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately. If the certification body is not sure if a reclaimed input is eligible or has not certified that reclaimed input before, they shall reach out to Textile Exchange for clarification prior to including it in the scope of GRS or RCS certification. If Textile Exchange or the AB finds errors in this area where the certification body did not request guidance from Textile Exchange, the scope certificate shall have the scope reduced to exclude ineligible inputs or shall be withdrawn immediately.					
Date Issued:	2022.06.09	Conformity Date:	2022.06.09	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 176	Clarity on CB rep	orting and invoicing	for RAF farms	ASR-107-V2 B2.7	2022.0	
Situation:	Audit times vary with RAF so some CBs are not reporting the farm names within an SC until the audit occurs. Per the SC policy, farms that are part of an active SC are to be reported as if they were already audited and are continuing certification to the RAF standard on the anniversary date.					
Interpretation:	The certification body shall report all active sites on a RAF scope certificate on the anniversary date, regardless of whether the audit has occurred. Textile Exchange will assume the farm will continue to be certified and invoice the certification body accordingly. If the certification body knows the site will not continue to be certified, they shall not report that site in the annual report for that scope certificate.					
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 179	Waiting period fo accreditation	or certification body r	e-	ASR-112-V1.	1 A 3		
Situation:	In light of recent involuntary withdrawals of certification body accreditations, some CBs have inquired about the desire for re-accreditation by changing their accreditation body once withdrawn or suspended by the previous AB.						
Interpretation:	their accreditation Exchange standar following the date NOTE: An applica Textile Exchange	A certification body whose accreditation has been involuntarily withdrawn by their accreditation body shall not reapply for accreditation to any Textile Exchange standard with any accreditation body for at least a 24-month period following the date of withdrawal by their previous accreditation body. NOTE: An application must be submitted to and subsequently accepted by Textile Exchange prior to submitting an application to the accreditation body (see ASR-101-V2.1 ACP, section D1.1.8).					
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Retirem ent Pendin g		



Calibration 180	Assessment of To	extile Exchange logo	use by CBs	ASR-101-V2	2.1 C4.6	
Situation:	It is not clear in the ACP what ABs shall check in their assessment of CBs regarding CB use of the Textile Exchange logo and CB adherence to the Claims Policy.					
Interpretation:	standard logo(s) of applicable standards Claims placeholder for the demonstrate configure to the certification C2.3, C2.4, and Care applicable standards C2.3	dy becomes authorized when the certification and has been signed we Policy, section C2.5) are Textile Exchange stromity of logo use for cation body licensing body shall evaluate of 2.5 of TE-301-V1.2 Stree certification body.	body licensing co ith Textile Exchar . The certification andard logo (e.g. assessment by th contract being sig ertification body o	ontract for the nge (see TE-3 body may us "logo goes h neir accredita gned.	e 301-V1.2 se a ere") to ition body	
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 182	Certified organization becomes ineligible	CCS-101-V3.1 B4			
Situation:	A company becomes either banned by Textile Exchange or the United States government sanctions a company, product, or input which results in the company becoming ineligible for certification.				
Interpretation:	In the event that a previously certified component, product, shipment, or site is identified as restricted, and therefore ineligible for certification, the certification body:				
	a) Shall immediately notify Textile Exchange and withdraw the related scope certificate(s) of such ineligible entity(ies);b) Shall cease all related certification activities within the prescribed timeling provided by Textile Exchange;				



Calibration 182	Certified organiza	ation becomes inelig	ible	CCS-101-V3	3.1 B4	
	c) Shall notify the certification body of any buyer of the identified ineligibility and any resulting withdrawal if any related transaction certificate(s) has been issued during the validity period of the scope certificate;					
	d) Shall not issue transaction certificates for products at the first processor that have become ineligible for certification immediately upon becoming ineligible. For products already certified prior to becoming ineligible, the certification body may consider them to be certified unless specifically identified by Textile Exchange to be considered ineligible immediately; And					
	e) Shall not issue transaction certificates for ineligible products after six months of becoming ineligible.					
	NOTE: This applies only to outputs of any process that is not the first processor (see item D).					
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 186	Merging of SC ar	nniversary dates		ASR-103-V	3.0 B1.10	
Situation:	B1.10 of SC policy establishes the anniversary date but isn't clear/explicit on how to address the merging of anniversary dates for different standards.					
Interpretation:	scope certificates scope certificates merged shall use body shall only m and shall not exte scope certificates certificates with t	nization wants to merg s, the certification bod that is closer to expira this reference (earlies erge dates by shorten and validity periods. T s with the previous and he same anniversary of s as per ASR-107 remains	y shall first identification. The other so st) anniversary da ling the validity po the certification bo niversary date and date using the ear	fy (as referent cope certificate. The certificate in the certification in the caped in the caped shall with the caped is sue all never all never in the caped in	ce) the tes to be ication alendar draw the v scope	
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 187	Mulesing using the ring method RWS-101a-V2. AW3.11				V2.2	
Situation:	castration) to rem same effect as sta applied to stop th chronic rather tha	Wool producers in Victoria, Australia, are using rubber rings (the kind used for castration) to remove excess skin from the breech area of sheep and give the same effect as standard mulesing. The skin will be pulled tight and the ring applied to stop the flow of blood and the skin dies and drops off. It will give chronic rather than acute pain to the sheep. This technique falls into the definition of mulesing in the RWS, so it is prohibited.				
Interpretation:	AW3.11.1 Freeze mulesing (steining) and any other form of breech modification is prohibited.					
Date Issued:	2022.06.08	Conformity Date:	2022.06.08	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 181	Clarity of organic materials/produc	status for OCS certi	fied	TE-301-V1.2 and B4.2.2	2 B4.2.1	
Situation:	When the allowed language in TE-301-V1.2 Standards Claims Policy in B4.2.1-B4.2.2 is applied to the OCS, it may mislead consumers to believe that the organically grown material was certified by the OCS, when in fact, it comes from farms certified under one of IFOAM's Family of Standards.					
Interpretation:	A claim maker may use the allowed language below to put the emphasis on the product being certified and not the raw input material:					
	a) (This product/This component) contains [x%] organically grown [material certified OCS by [CB name] [License Number].					
	b) Contains [x%] organically grown [material], certified OCS by [CB name] [License Number].					
Date Issued:	2022.05.02	Conformity Date:	2022.05.02	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 177	Minimum months	s of records for Initial		CCS-201-V3 V2.0 C4 of C & B1.2 of CC	CS V3.0	
Situation:	_	How many months of records (such as production, attendance, payroll, etc.) should the facility have before a GRS audit can be conducted if a facility was just established?				
Interpretation:	If a brand new facility is being certified, at least three months of operation records are needed to ensure a proper CCS and/or GRS audit.					
Date Issued:	2022.04.28	Conformity Date:	2022.04.28	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 161	Collectors and Co Geographic Scop	oncentrators Outside pe	of CB's	GRS-201-V- 201-V2.2 A- Guidance	-
Situation:	If a certification body has a limited geographic scope of operations, may the certification body's material recycler clients source from collectors or concentrators which are located outside of the geographic scope?				
Interpretation:	All collectors and concentrators shall be located within the geographic scope of the material recycler's certification body, unless one of the following options applies:				
	 The collector or concentrator is independently certified to the RCS or GRS; or The certification body outsources all required evaluation of the collector or concentrator to a certification body who is accredited for the RCS and/or GRS with a geographic scope which includes the collector or concentrator's location. 				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 165		ning guidance for Sc Transaction Certifica	•	ASR-103-V3 ASR-104-V3 of SC, B2.2	3.0 B1.4
Situation:	Some of the Chinese customers have the same English names but different Chinese names. It would be a good practice to have both the Chinese and English names listed under the Name_of_Certified_Organization. It was noticed that some companies would have their English name the same as another organization, but their Chinese name is the unique identifier and cannot be mixed up with another organization. It will create an issue in deduplication.				
Interpretation:	Due to the complexity and in order to have an effective deduplication of sites in the Textile Exchange database, the certification body should mention English name and native language name in scope and transaction certificates as two separate field line items when the native language has non-English characters (e.g. Chinese). Only English charaters are permitted in the English name field.				
	Example:				
	Name_of_Certified_Organisation				
	Native_Name_of_Certified_Organisation				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 166	Submission of Cl	aim Approval Applic	ation	TE-301-V1.1	I B5
Situation:	Often, the brand is responsible for the generation of artwork, and their license number will be used on the label. Sometimes there can be confusion between who should submit the label release/approval to their certification body (i.e. the manufacturer, or the brand).				
Interpretation:	Claim approval applications may be submitted by either the certified brand or the certified final processor of certified goods to the relevant certification body for review (i.e. the certification body who issued the scope certificate to the certified organization) and the artwork shall include the license number of the organization submitting the claim approval application. If the certified brand and the final processor are each certified by a different certification body: a) the review of the claim approval application shall be submitted to (and subsequently approved or rejected) by one certification body only; and b) the certification body shall accept the claim approval issued by the certification body of the organization submitting the claim approval				
	application. If a certification body subsequently identifies an error within the artwork after the approval has been issued, they shall notify the certification body that issued the claim approval of the error directly so it can be remedied. If the artwork is not remedied, the certification body who discovered the error should submit a formal complaint to Textile Exchange.				
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued



Calibration 169	Raw Material Cor	mposition - Decimal I	Points	ASR-104-V	3.0 B2.10
Situation:	Can we accept or issue a transaction certificate where the raw material composition is mentioned with decimal points? e.g. 50.40% Recycled Pre-Consumer Cotton + 49.60% Polyester				
Interpretation:	and transaction conshall not include conumber). e.g. 50% Recycles NOTE: Common to within a tolerance	change standards, ravertificate shall be expedecimal points (i.e. shall be consumer Cottons and the limit of +/- 3% variates ible to verify a mater	ressed using only all be rounded to t on + 49% Polyestonsually verify mate ion only. Therefore	whole number the closest we er erial composi re, it may be	er(s) and hole tion
Date Issued:	2022.01.31	Conformity Date:	2022.01.31	Status:	Issued

Calibration 173	RAF GIS Farm Questions: Elimination of Excel submission option.	RAF-102-V2.1 D1.2.1.b
Situation:	RAF-102-v2.01, D1.2.1b requires data submission of Farronline survey form or an Excel file. This requirement is m January 1st, 2022. Textile Exchange made both options unknown whether the ArcGIS system would be ready by As the system was made ready by January 1st, 2022, the no longer be an alternative because it cannot be linked to features of dTrackit, thus requiring manual processing a will no longer accommodate manual submission of this i after March 31st, 2022, so the focus will be on implement data collection methods.	nandatory as of available as it was then. Excel file option will o the automated and delays. The system of the automation via Excel



Calibration 173	RAF GIS Farm Qu submission option	uestions: Elimination on.	of Excel	RAF-102-V2 D1.2.1.b	2.1
Interpretation:	farm (including ear Communal Farme questions are con The options availant later than April 1. The online survey body. 2. A mobile app w	poody shall report a set ach member in the caser Group Certification) aplete and accurate pable for submission and 1st, 2022 are: ey form, using the custification and the custification are internet of there are internet of the custification.	se of Farm Group of the certification rior to submission and with mandatory stom link provided to the feature which al	Certification and body shall end on a shall end on	and nsure all tion date cation
Date Issued:	2022.01.26	Conformity Date:	2022.01.26	Status:	Issued

Calibration 170	Removal of sheep from natural pasture	RWS-101a-V2.2 AW5.7, AW2.14, AW2.11, AW3.2, LM1.1
Situation:	Australian dry weather conditions affect the pasture groforcing the farmer to practice continuous confinement for farming practice. Each year, sheep are held off pasture in a confinement you usually summer or autumn seasons. The animals cannot behaviors and have welfare conditions (5 freedoms), and them with supplementary hay, anipro, and barley. Challenges can arise when the farm uses confinement you tool rather than as a contingency plan, as the RWS standard the farmers find it very difficult to stop this practice under conditions in Australia.	eeding as a regular ard for a period of time, demonstrate natural d the farmer feeds ards as a management dard specifies. or non-conformity, but



Calibration 170	Removal of sheep	o from natural pastui		RWS-101a-\ AW5.7, AW2 AW2.11, AW LM1.1	2.14,
Interpretation:	Animal Welfare the need to provide the flock with access to natural graze as a integral part of their living environment (AW2.11) and establishes the requirement to have a pasture-based system for sheep. The only exception is when an emergency or severe weather conditions such as droughts, floods, fires, heavy snowfall, etc., would otherwise negatively impact sheep welfare and affect the amount of pasture available for sheep or keeping a healthy soil base for it. The CB shall use the following criteria to assess if the farmer complies with the RWS principles when removing sheep from natural pasture. The farmer needs to meet ALL clauses to be considered compliant with AW2.11: 1. The farmer has a written document that explains the type of emergency or severe weather affecting the farm and forcing the removal of sheep from natural pasture, including a detailed management plan that guarantees sheep welfare, as per AW5.7. This clause also covers situations like weather conditions resulting from the change of seasons, which can affect soil and animal health. Thus, it requires the written plan to have actions on land management (LM1.1) and animal health (AW3.2).				
	2. The farm establishes a correct and effective stocking rate and follows it, as per AW2.14. The proper management of this rate can provide, for the most part, enough in-farm produced hay/forage to feed the flock during periods when removed from natural pasture.				
	3. The farm keeps records of the duration and justification of each emergency or severe weather occurrence that led to removing sheep from natural pasture Justification may involve information such as weather data, soil moisture deficits, pasture vegetation cover measurement, predator monitoring etc.				
Date Issued:	2022.01.11	Conformity Date:	2022.01.11	Status:	Issued



Calibration 164	Collectors and Co verification & phy	oncentrators - Addit ysical inspection	ional	GRS-201-V 201-V2.2 A Guidance	· ·	
Situation:	The GRS Implementation Manual V4.2 A4 states that "Certification bodies shall keep a list of all collectors and concentrators that supply to recycling clients. 10% of this total shall be chosen for additional verification, with 2% chosen for physical inspection." It is not clear that if the percentages selected for additional verification should be determined per certification body, per certified organization, or on another basis.					
Interpretation:	The sampling of collectors and concentrators (10% additional verification and 2% physical inspection) shall be determined per certification body and is always rounded up. The 2% sample for physical inspection may be counted towards the 10% sample for additional verification. Example: A certification body has certified 50 GRS material recyclers (mechanical, chemical, and/or biological) in total. Each recycler has one collector and one concentrator. As a result, the certification body will have $50x1 + 50x1 = 100$ collectors/concentrators. The certification body therefore needs to select 10% of $100 = 10$ collectors/concentrators for additional verification and 2% of $100 = 2$ collectors/concentrators for physical inspection.					
Date Issued:	2021.09.22	Conformity Date:	2021.09.22	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 162	Sale of Mixed Down and Feather from a Slaughter Site	ASR-213-V1.1 Table 3.1			
Situation:	Some RDS slaughter sites sell mixed down and feather to sites which are not included in the same scope certificate. The slaughter sites are not able to determine the exact percentage of down and of feather from the total quantity, since these two raw materials are separated at the down processing stage. How should this material be reflected on scope and transaction certificates?				
Interpretation:	The raw material codes RM0330 and RM0331 (PFC) madown and feather on transaction certificates only if the stransaction certificate is a slaughter site. These codes madorresponding scope certificates. These codes shall not	eller named on the nay also be used on the			



Calibration 162	Sale of Mixed Down and Feather from a Slaughter Site			ASR-213-V1 3.1	.1 Table	
		transaction certificates where the percentage of down and of feather in the product can be identified.				
Date Issued:	2021.09.16	Conformity Date:	2021.09.16	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 159	Physical Possess	sion		CCS-101-V3	3.0 B1
Situation:	In the CCS we say that traders without physical possession of product do not have to be certified. We occasionally get questions about what 'physical possession' means. Can you draft a calibration for that and let us know what it is so we can add that as a future update to the User Manual as well?				
Interpretation:	material form. It is the proprietary right For example, whe merely transfer the Here, the process possession of materials but is not Different actors in distributors, and in products. Others, intermediary function their commercials	the supply chain suc retailers usually take p such as traders, do n tion. Brands sometim ial operations setup.	ship of goods, who materials to a subon and not the ownomaterials but doen tractor has physoch as processors, who has processors of take physical prestake physical prestake physical prestale Exchange	ere an entity contractor, the ership of mates and possession du possession de standards interes.	ey erials. eysical on of s and e to their epending eend to
	cover the different arrangements among supply chain participants while guaranteeing the integrity of the verified materials and products.				
Date Issued:	2021.09.03	Conformity Date:	2021.09.03	Status:	Issued



Calibration 155	CNCA Registration	on and Freelancers		ASR-101-V2 & D3.2.6.f	2.1 D1.1.3	
Situation:	May a certification body contract with auditors who work for an entity registered with CNCA but which is not accredited to ISO 17065 as a way to meet the Chinese legal requirement for CNCA registration?					
Interpretation:	purpose of a certi subcontractor of t 17065 accreditati	Any independently owned entity which holds registration with CNCA for the purpose of a certification body operating legally in China is considered to be a subcontractor of the certification body and is therefore required to hold ISO 17065 accreditation. Freelancers in China may be hired by a certification body or certification body subcontractor which holds CNCA registration.				
Date Issued:	2021.07.26	Conformity Date:	2021.07.26	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 151	Organizations Between Farm and First Processor for RAF	RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 B1.3	
Situation:	In some countries, "brokers" take possession of animal fiber in between the farm and the first processor, often to facilitate a sale at auction. It is unclear if these brokers require certification.		
Interpretation:	Organizations which take legal ownership of animal fiber and the first processor are required to be certified to the where the applicable version of the CCS allows for an exclif an organization takes physical possession of the animal but not legal ownership, the organization shall be treated whoever owns the animal fiber while it is being stored (tylics). If the organization arranges for the sale of wool (e.g. at a service fee to the farm, they are not considered to take let they receive payment for the animal fiber and remit it to the organization would be considered to be a broker. If the purchases the animal fiber from the farm for a set price, take legal ownership.	standard, except ception to certification. al fiber (i.e. for storage) d as a subcontractor by pically the farm or uction) and charges a egal ownership even if the farm. In this case he organization	



Calibration 151	Organizations Between Farm and First Processor for RAF			RAF-101a-V2.1/RAF- 101b-V1.1/RAF-101c- V1.0 B1.3	
Date Issued:	2021.06.04	Conformity Date:	2021.06.04	Status:	Issued

Calibration 153	Extended Timeline For Invoicing ASR-104-V3.0 A6					
Situation:	In some cases, shipping of animal fiber is carried out from the farm to the buyer's warehouse in an extended time prior to invoicing for the fiber (3 months or more, and potentially more than a year). This creates a conflict with the requirement that transaction certificates be issued within 180 calendar days of the shipment date.					
Interpretation:	If a farm ships animal fiber to the buyer's warehouse but does not invoice or transfer legal ownership to the buyer for an extended time, the farm may treat the buyer's warehouse as a subcontracted warehouse, and subsequently apply for a transaction certificate when legal ownership of the animal fiber is transferred to the buyer.					
Date Issued:	2021.06.04	Conformity Date:	2021.06.04	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 149	Restricted Chem	icals in Fiber Produc	tion	GRS-101-V4	I.0 D2.2
Situation:	Textile Exchange has identified that a number of chip, fiber, and filament producers have been certified to the GRS while using restricted chemicals. Textile Exchange has further identified that in many cases there might be no alternative chemical available, and that the requirements of GRS Section D might be so strict as to effectively exclude particular fibers from the GRS system unintentionally.				
Interpretation:	If the site uses any of the listed restricted chemicals in a chip, fiber, or filament production process, the scope certificate shall be withdrawn or downgraded to RCS immediately. This includes but is not limited to the following chemicals and fibers:				
	1. DMAC (N N Dim	nethylacetamide/ Dim	nethylacetamide) i	n elastane/s	pandex;
	2. Antimony triox	ide and Cobalt (II) Ace	etate Tetrahydrate	e in polyester	
	The details of how these chemicals may be used in certified products in the future will be considered in the unified standard development process. NOTE: A previous exception was included in this calibration for scope certificates issued on or before April 15, 2021. All such scope certificates have now expired so that exception has been removed.				
	UPDATED: 2022.	01.31			
	ORIGINALLY ISSUED: 2021.04.15				
Date Issued:	2021.04.15	Conformity Date:	2021.04.15	Status:	Issued



Calibration 145	CB Translations	of Documents		ASR-101-V2	2.1 D1.8.1
Situation:		bodies prepare transl hange documents to s			
Interpretation:	1. Textile Exdocument in the transfer discontinued if Textile Exdocument in the transfer discontinued if Textile Exchange by emails. For document for approval from 4. Document Exchange document S. Document CB NAME(S)>". target language of G. Certificatien encouraged to co T. Where Textile Exchange document for approval from the second for approximate for approximate for approximate for approximate for approximate for approximate for	es may publish their of her documents, province har documents, province har documents, province har documents arget language. Any dextile Exchange published the translated document in Chinese or Sparents shall not copy any pent. Explanatory graphets shall include the team every page. In a copy and the sparents in chinese on translation translation the provided terms shall extile Exchange province the provided terms shall has not copy and the provided terms shall extile Exchange province the provided terms shall extile Exchange provided terms and exchange provided terms shall extile Exchange provided terms and extile Exchange pr	shed an official transcertification body to shes an official transcent shall be provide tile Exchange.org. Coanish, the certification of the short of the control of the short of the control of the same region or the same region or the same region or the same region or the same to improve control of the same region or the same region	nslation of the ranslation of the ranslations in slation. The determinant of the ranslation of the ranslation of the ranslation body slation body slation body slation preparation or pre	e met: e shall be nall wait cile red by d in the
Date Issued:	2021.03.22	Conformity Date:	2021.03.22	Status:	Issued



Calibration 140	What is the earlie conducted?	est a recertification a	udit may be	ASR-101-V2 D4.4.20, D		
Situation:	The ACP D4.4.20 recommends ('should' language) that recertification audits be conducted 60 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate, and D4.6.8 requires that the certification decision be made within 60 days of the audit. How far in advance may a recertification audit be conducted? Is it allowable to finalize a certification decision within 60 days of the audit and issue a scope certificate upon expiry of the previous scope certificate?					
Interpretation:	Recertification audits may be conducted up to 90 days before the expiry of the previous scope certificate. If a certification decision is made prior to the expiry of the previous scope certificate, issuing the new scope certificate may then be delayed up to 90 days after the audit date (typically to the anniversary date).					
Date Issued:	2021.01.28	Conformity Date:	2021.04.01	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 131	Non-NPOP Seed Cotton in India OCS-101-V				3.0 C1.1	
Situation:	Can non-NPOP seed cotton from India be accepted in the OCS supply chain at the ginning stage, if it is certified to another standard that is approved under IFOAM Family of Standards?					
Interpretation:	Since organic fibres are covered under NPOP and to meet national regulations, any organic fibers originating from India must be certified to NPOP as a basic requirement, to be accepted as OCS Material. Other certifications such as NOP may also be in place for the fibers.					
Date Issued:	2021.01.18	Conformity Date:	2021.01.18	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 134	USDA NOP Eligib	bility		OCS-205-V 15	2.1 Box
Situation:	How should the certification body of a supply chain organization (not a first processor) determine the answer to the question "Certification of the organic material used for the products listed complies with USDA NOP rules"?				
Interpretation:	considered to concomplies with US certificate. If any owith USDA NOP reshall be selected. Checking 'Yes' on	this box indicates the	rules if all of the inc cated on the incon Material cannot be ed for this box on t at all listed produc	coming OCS ning transact confirmed to the incoming ts comply wit	ion comply TC), 'No'
Date Issued:	2021.01.18	Conformity Date:	2021.01.18	Status:	Issued

Calibration 136	Definition of "Lot	.11		RAF-101a-V 101b-V1.1 G1		
Situation:	What is referred to by the word "lot" for the purposes of G1.7.3 in the RAF standards? Is it acceptable for a farm group to outsource the storage of bales of wool which may be combined into lots for sale?					
Interpretation:		The word "lot" in G1.7.3 of the RAF standards refers to any discrete, identifiable unit of fiber which cannot be accidentally mixed with other lots.				
Date Issued:	2021.01.15	Conformity Date:	2021.01.15	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 74	Scope of Process	ses for GRS Chemical	Requirements	GRS-101-V	4.0 D2	
Situation:	Are processes that do not "add" to the product (e.g. spin finishes for yarn) included in the scope of evaluation for chemicals according to the GRS?					
Interpretation:	of the GRS. The G "added to the pro chemicals that ma the following main certified material,	Spin finishers (and processing aids) are still considered to be part of the scope of the GRS. The GRS does not make a distinction between products that are "added to the product," but uses the phrase: "GRS criteria for the use of chemicals that may be used in the production of GRS products are based on the following main requirements" GRS chemical rules are applicable to all certified material, as well as any non-certified materials once they are blended into a certified product and to any other inputs used during production.				
Date Issued:	2021.01.14	Conformity Date:	2021.01.14	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 112	Sufficient Personnel	ASR-101-V2.1 D3.1.1		
Situation:	What is a sufficient number of personnel based on the number of scope certificates?			
Interpretation:	The number of personnel needed for a certificiation body scope certificates will vary depending on the circumstant number of personnel needed, the certification body shown. 1. The time required to conduct each audit (including audit planning, and reporting), as well as the review/certificate. 2. The time required for administrative functions (e.g. is claims approvals; closing NCs) per scope certificate; 3. The percentage of each person's time which is dedicate Exchange standards; 4. Time spent on training and general administrative tases. 5. Full time working hours after regular time off. The number of personnel should not be less than 1 full time.	ices. In determining the uld consider: dit time, travel, ion decision; suing SCs, TCs, and ted to Textile		



Calibration 112	Sufficient Personnel			ASR-101-V2.1 D3.1.	
Date Issued:	2021.01.14	Conformity Date:	2021.01.14	Status:	Issued

Calibration 128	RAF ICS in a Diffe	erent Country		RAF-101a- V2.0/RAF-1 F1.3	01b-V1.0	
Situation:		May an RAF farm group ICS be located in a different country than the farms, provided that necessary management oversight is maintained?				
Interpretation:	The ICS location for a farm group shall be in the same country as the farms. If the certified organization's main operation is in another country, it may be included as a subsequent site in the scope certificate and may be involved with the work of the ICS.					
Date Issued:	2020.12.15	Conformity Date:	2020.12.15	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 129	Reclaimed Inputs for Buttons	GRS-301- V4.0/RCS-301-V2.0 A1		
Situation:	A button maker punches buttons out of a sheet of resin. The remaining material from the sheet is reground to be used as an input for buttons. May this material be considered reclaimed/recycled? Is the answer different if the regrinding process occurs at a different site?			
Interpretation:	The remaining material after buttons are punched out of similar material) shall not be considered to be reclaimed as a raw material input for button making. This includes regrinding is outsourced or is done at a different site. The previous version of this guidance (published 2020.1 affected scope certificates active at that time to remain versions).	or recycled if it is used situations where the 2.14) allowed any		



Calibration 129	Reclaimed Inputs for Buttons		GRS-301- V4.0/RCS-301-V2.0 A1		
	Updated: 2022.12.12 Orginally issued: 2020.12.14				
Date Issued:	2020.12.14	Conformity Date:	2020.02.01	Status:	Issued

Calibration 130	Certification of N	on-Textile Reclaime	d Materials	GRS-301- V4.0/RCS- A3.1b	301-V2.0		
Situation:	What action should certification bodies take before accepting non-textile reclaimed or recycled inputs for RCS or GRS?						
Interpretation:	and due to differing the certification be accepting an application or recycles.	Due to the wide range of potential reclaimed or recycled materials available, and due to differing definitions of these terms in different countries or sectors, the certification body shall contact Textile Exchange for clarification prior to accepting an application from an organization who wishes to certify non-textile reclaimed or recycled materials which are not already RCS or GRS certified. (Updated on 2022.07.19. Corrected "should" to "shall").					
Date Issued:	2020.12.14	Conformity Date:	2020.12.14	Status:	Issued		

Calibration 116	Reclaimed Fur			GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A3	-	
Situation:	May reclaimed fur	May reclaimed fur be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS?				
Interpretation:		Post-consumer reclaimed fur may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. Pre-consumer reclaimed fur is outside the scope of the standards.				
Date Issued:	2020.11.09	Conformity Date:	2020.11.09	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 103	Withdrawing SCs certificates	that were issued on	ly as Electronic	ASR-101-V2 D1.1.14a	2.1
Situation:	A certification body uses only electronic certificates, which causes the certification body to lack provisions to comply with requirement D1.1.14a to have clients return all copies of certificates (as no physical certificates are issued).				
Interpretation:	In the case of suspension or withdrawal of a scope certificate, the organization's obligations under D1.1.14a are considered to be met if the original scope certificate (if issued as a physical document) is returned to the certification body and all electronic or printed copies of the scope certificate are destroyed.				
Date Issued:	2020.10.29	Conformity Date:	2020.10.29	Status:	Issued

Calibration 110	Timing of Recertification Audits and SC Issuance ASR-101-V2.1 De				2.1 D4.7.3
Situation:	Recertification audits are sometimes conducted 2-3 months prior to the expiry of the existing scope certificate, meaning the deadline for the certification decision (60 days after the audit) may fall before the expiry of the previous scope certificate. How should scope certificate issuance and validity dates be handled in this case?				
Interpretation:	Textile Exchange's intention is that the anniversary date remain consistent for each scope certificate. In the case that the recertification audit is conducted more than 60 days prior to the expiry of a scope certificate, the certification decision shall still be made within 60 days, but the certification body may wait until the expiry of the previous scope certificate to issue the new scope certificate.				
Date Issued:	2020.10.29	Conformity Date:	2020.10.29	Status:	Issued



Calibration 65	Recycled Down and Feathers			GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	0/RCS-	
Situation:	A down recycler purchases reclaimed down which has been through a light "pre-wash" process. The recycler's washing process is considered to be the recycling process. Does the supplier require RCS/GRS certification?					
Interpretation:	needs to be RCS/ certification body	If the reclaimed down supplier is purchasing down from a collector, the supplier needs to be RCS/GRS certified. If the supplier is acting as a collector, the certification body may agree for the down recycler to accept a Reclaimed Material Declaration Form from the supplier.				
Date Issued:	2020.08.27	Conformity Date:	2020.08.27	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 89	Use of Expired O	rganic Food		OCS-101-V3	3.0 C1.1	
Situation:		May organic expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as inputs for OCS?				
Interpretation:	1. The product wa the supply chain of the packaging on the packaging of the supply chain on the packaging of the supply chain on the packaging of the supply chain of	transaction certificate oduct which carries are the scope certificate is confirmed.	put if the following food/feed and is a all verified through e from an accepted norganic label from the final pro	apply: waste produ n one of the fo	ct from ollowing ndard; or d organic entified	
	In this case, the processor accepting the food waste shall be considered to be the first processor. Accepted organic standards are identified in OCS 3.0 C1.1.					
Date Issued:	2020.08.27	Conformity Date:	2020.08.27	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 67	Freedom of association and collective bargaining GRS-101-V4.0 E				.0 B2.3
Situation:	Is the client required to have some form of elected worker representation? E.g. union, collective bargaining agreement, or worker committee.				
Interpretation:	The intent of this requirement is to ensure that unions and other forms of worker organization are not blocked/actively avoided. There is no requirement that one be in place if the workers have not chosen to do so.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 70	Clarification on S	hadow Audit Require	ements	ASR-101-V2 D3.1.5e, f; [
Situation:	May certification bodies perform one shadow audit to the more complex standard (e.g. GRS) and consider this to cover as a shadow audit for all other standards (e.g. CCS, RCS, OCS)?				
Interpretation:	Auditor qualification scopes are intended to mirror accreditation scopes. Any audit which includes the CCS (all except farms) may meet the shadow audit requirement for CCS auditor qualification. A GRS audit at a material recycler may meet the shadow audit requirement for RCS auditor qualification. GRS/RCS audits may not be used to complete OCS qualification, or vice versa.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 73	Auditors conducting audits of the same organization in consecutive years ASR-101-V2.1 D1.2.13c		
Situation:	If there are multiple auditors on an audit team, does the limit of three consecutive years apply to all auditors or only to the lead auditor?		
Interpretation:	The requirement for an auditor to not audit the same organization in more than three consecutive years applies to all auditors.		



Calibration 73	Auditors conducting audits of the same organization in consecutive years			ASR-101-V2.1 D1.2.13c	
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 94	Combined Audit	Checklists		ASR-101-V2 D4.4.19	2.1
Situation:	May a certification body produce a combined checklist or report intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard? What approval for this is needed?				
Interpretation:	A certification body may produce a combined checklist or report template intended to address both a Textile Exchange standard and another standard, provided that all required elements for the Textile Exchange standard are included. No special approval is needed, though the checklist or template shall be evaluated by the accreditation body during the next office assessment.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.16	Conformity Date:	2020.07.16	Status:	Issued

Calibration 88	Use of Expired Food as Recycled			GRS-101-V2 101-V2.0 A1	-	
Situation:		May expired/non-saleable food products (e.g. collected from grocery stores) be accepted as recycled inputs?				
Interpretation:	be accepted as ar	Food waste which is used as feedstock for a (non-food) recycling process may be accepted as an input for GRS or RCS. If the food waste is collected in the supply chain (e.g. from grocery stores) it shall be considered to be preconsumer.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.07	Conformity Date:	2020.07.07	Status:	Issued	



Calibration 62	Oligomer Recycling			GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	0/RCS-	
Situation:		There is a lack of clarity regarding oligomer recycling. Previous guidance from Textile Exchange did not allow oligomers to be considered reclaimed/recycled.				
Interpretation:	under GRS and R	Oligomers produced during processing may be accepted as a reclaimed input under GRS and RCS. If the oligomers are purchased by a recycler they may be considered to be pre-consumer.				
Date Issued:	2020.07.02	Conformity Date:	2020.07.02	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 78	Certification of Ocean Waste	GRS-101-V4.0/RCS- 101-V2.0 A1		
Situation:	Some organizations wish to claim reclaimed ocean waste (waste collected from in or near oceans) specifically on GRS and RCS transaction certificates. Standard requirements do not validate that the plastic is in fact ocean plastic.			
Interpretation:	Reclaimed ocean waste is defined as material which has oceans and/or from shorelines (within 0.2 km of the wat the water level at high tide, whichever is higher). Materia recycling systems may not be included as reclaimed ocean. No claims relating to reclaimed ocean waste may be included the certificates unless the following conditions are met: 1. The collector, concentrator, and all handlers are either.	er level at low tide or als from municipal an waste. uded on transaction RCS or GRS certified.		
	certificate to produce a GRS product. 3. All material is confirmed to be reclaimed ocean waste collector and concentrator. 4. "Reclaimed ocean waste" may be mentioned on Box 1 certificate. It may not be mentioned on the scope certificate.	material is confirmed to be reclaimed ocean waste during audits of the stor and concentrator. claimed ocean waste" may be mentioned on Box 15 of the transaction cate. It may not be mentioned on the scope certificate. sites after the material recycler, reclaimed ocean waste is indicated on		



Calibration 78	Certification of O	cean Waste		GRS-101-V4 101-V2.0 A1	0/RCS-	
	with the RCS or G Note: This issue h Working Group do	6. Public facing claims relating to reclaimed ocean waste are not associated with the RCS or GRS standard names or logos. Note: This issue has been identified for reconsideration by the International Working Group during the next RCS and GRS revision process. (Updated 2020.08.07)				
Date Issued:	2020.07.02	Conformity Date:	2020.07.02	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 64	Shadow Assessment Definition	ASR-101-V2.0 C4.6.3b, C4.6.4b, C4.6.8		
Situation:	Clarity needed regarding definition of a Shadow Assessment - do these mean strictly witness audits or also include review audits?			
Interpretation:	References to accreditation body shadow assessments as defined in the situation. Review audits are a valuable Exchange also believes that it is important to observe he body auditor conducts the audit. The minimum frequency for shadow assessments is one standard, and we know that in many circumstances acconducting more shadows than this. After the minimum assessments is met, review audits as described may be IOAS definitions used in this calibration: Witness Audits - accreditation body assessor observes auditor(s) at work; assessor and auditor(s) have an introto the audit, and an exit meeting for feed-back and clarific questions after the audit, neither meeting involving the assessor will not speak during the audit but may take no should be full audits.	tool, but Textile bw the certification e per two years per reditation bodies are number of shadow used. certification body's oductory meeting prior fication of any audited organization;		



Calibration 64	Shadow Assessn	nent Definition		ASR-101-V2 C4.6.3b, C4.6.4b, C4.6.8	2.0
	Review Audits - accreditation body assessor visits the organization and verifies information from the certification body's latest audit report and certification decision; it includes interviewing the operator or the person who was present for the last inspection, reviewing records and a physical visit to the premises. Duration may vary according to operation size and complexity but is not expected to be a full repeat audit. The auditor that conducted the reviewed audit is not required to be present; certification body is strongly encouraged to have a certification body representative accompanying the assessor during the Review Audit to witness it but shall not interfere with the assessor's work in any sense.)				
Date Issued:	2020.02.20	Conformity Date:	2020.02.20	Status:	Issued

Calibration 10	Generating Pre-Consumer Waste			GRS-101-V4.0/RCS 101-V2.0 A1	
Situation:	Pre-consumer waste that is collected from a production stage and then used again in the same production stage may undergo processing steps that meet the definition of "recycled material".				
Interpretation:	Production wastes may only be considered to be pre-consumer reclaimed material if they are reprocessed through a recycling process at a site with 'recycling' included as a process in its scope. (Updated 2020.06.30)				
Date Issued:	2019.05.01	Conformity Date:	2019.05.01	Status:	Issued



Calibration 23	Transition Betwe	en Standard Version	s	ASR-101-V2	2.1 D4.4.2	
Situation:	Transition from old standard version to new version.					
Interpretation:	Certified organizations shall be in conformity with updated standards or requirements as of the mandatory implementation date (typically one year after publication in the case of a standard). The certification body shall check conformity to the updated standard or requirements as of the next regularly scheduled audit. Certification bodies shall inform their clients of the mandatory implementation date for applicable new standards or requirements. (Updated 2020.06.30) Organizations audited on or after the mandatory implementation date shall operate in conformance with and be subject to the criteria of both documents which will include any unannounced audits. (Updated 2022.03.14)					
Date Issued:	2018.08.01	Conformity Date:	2018.08.01	Status:	Issued	

Calibration 45	Re-Recycling of	Post-Consumer Mate	erials	GRS-101-V ² 101-V2.0 A1 definition of consumer	,
Situation:	Can waste from post-consumer recycling activities still be considered post-consumer waste?				
Interpretation:	Post-consumer recycled material which is put through an additional (preconsumer) recycling process may continue to be considered post-consumer, provided that the percentage of post-consumer content can be accurately determined. If the percentage of material which is post-consumer prior to the final recycling process cannot be accurately determined, the material shall be considered to be pre-consumer. (Updated 2020.06.30)				
Date Issued:	2017.03.01	Conformity Date:	2017.03.01	Status:	Issued